

FEAR OF RACISM AND DEATH

**URGENT REQUEST TO HHJ E. DEACON KC FOR IMMEDIATE JUDICIAL
AUTHORISATION, DIRECTIONS OR OTHER NECESSARY ACTION TO SECURE THREE
TRANSCRIPTS BEFORE THE NEXT HEARING**

Case Reference: 01GD1160226

Defendant: Derrick Emmanuel Lynch, also known as John Canoe

Court: Wood Green Crown Court

Next Hearing: 15 October 2026 at 10:00

**FOR THE URGENT PERSONAL ATTENTION OF:
HER HONOUR JUDGE E. DEACON KC
WOOD GREEN CROWN COURT**

QUESTION REQUIRING AN URGENT DIRECT ANSWER FROM HHJ DEACON KC

I respectfully ask HHJ Deacon KC to answer the following question directly:

**Will HHJ Deacon KC now give any judicial authorisation, direction, approval or
other order within her lawful power that is necessary or appropriate to facilitate the
urgent production and supply to me of the transcripts of all three relevant hearings
— 26 August 2026, 16 September 2026 and 24 September 2026 — sufficiently before
my next hearing on 15 October 2026 to allow me a reasonable opportunity to
examine them and make informed decisions?**

YES OR NO, insofar as the question is capable of a YES or NO answer.

IF YES

**If YES, I respectfully request that the necessary judicial action is taken
immediately, and that I am informed in writing:**

- 1. what the Judge has authorised, directed, approved or ordered;**
- 2. the date upon which that action was taken;**
- 3. which of the three transcripts the judicial action applies to;**
- 4. who is now responsible for implementing it;**
- 5. whether anything further is required from me;**
- 6. whether any payment is required from me;**
- 7. what steps will now be taken to obtain each transcript; and**

8. the expected date upon which each transcript will be supplied to me.

IF NO

If NO,

I respectfully request that HHJ Deacon KC states clearly:

- 1. why she will not take the requested action;**
- 2. whether the reason is that she does not have the legal or procedural power to do so;**
- 3. whether she has the power but considers that it should not be exercised;**
- 4. whether judicial authorisation is not required at all for any or all of the three transcripts;**
- 5. who instead has the authority and responsibility to secure each transcript;**
- 6. what I am required to do;**
- 7. why those steps have not already resulted in me receiving the transcript of 26 August 2026;**
- 8. what steps I should take immediately to secure all three transcripts before 15 October 2026; and**
- 9. what I should do if the transcripts cannot be supplied sufficiently before that hearing to permit meaningful consideration.**

WHY I AM PUTTING THIS QUESTION DIRECTLY TO HHJ DEACON KC

This request is not theoretical.

I already require three transcripts:

- 1. 26 August 2026;**
- 2. 16 September 2026; and**
- 3. 24 September 2026.**

I have not even received the first transcript.

My recollection is that, during the hearing on 24 September 2026, when I raised the outstanding transcript of 26 August, the Judge herself indicated that I should have received it by now.

The existing document records precisely that problem: despite the steps I have already taken, the first transcript remains outstanding, and I require somebody to establish why it has not been provided and where the process has failed.

I therefore respectfully ask the Judge not merely to tell me again that transcripts can be obtained or that I may have to pay for them.

I need the outstanding process resolved.

I need to know what has happened to the first transcript.

I need the second transcript.

I now need the third transcript.

And I require sufficient time to examine all three before the next hearing.

WHY THIS CANNOT REASONABLY WAIT UNTIL 15 OCTOBER 2026

The purpose of obtaining the transcripts is to assist me before the next hearing.

It would defeat much of that purpose if the question of securing them were left unresolved until I appear before the Court again on 15 October 2026.

I need sufficient time before that hearing to:

- **read all three transcripts carefully;**
- **compare them against my contemporaneous records;**
- **establish what was actually said and decided;**
- **establish which of my questions were answered;**
- **identify which questions remain unanswered;**
- **identify any possible omission, discrepancy or misunderstanding;**
- **determine whether I need clarification from the Court;**
- **determine whether I need to make the application concerning the underlying audio described by the Judge on 24 September;**
- **understand what directions or recommendations have actually been made;**
and
- **make informed decisions concerning my position.**

My existing request already explains that merely receiving the transcripts at or immediately before the hearing would not resolve the problem because I require time to consider the evidence.

I therefore respectfully request that the question of judicial authorisation, directions or other necessary action is addressed now and not deferred until 15 October 2026.

IMPORTANT — I AM NOT ASSUMING THAT JUDICIAL AUTHORISATION IS REQUIRED

For absolute clarity, I am not asserting that the Judge's authorisation is legally required for every transcript.

I do not presently know whether it is.

That is one of the matters I require the Court to clarify.

If the Judge's authorisation is required, I request that it is given immediately.

If the Judge's authorisation is not required, I request that this is stated clearly and that the person or organisation actually responsible is identified.

If different procedures apply to the three different hearings, I require those differences to be explained separately.

I do not want responsibility for these transcripts to continue moving between the Court, transcription provider or any other person without somebody identifying precisely who is responsible for what and what must now happen.

REQUEST THAT THIS DOCUMENT IS PLACED BEFORE THE JUDGE URGENTLY

I respectfully request that Court administration:

- 1. place this document before the Judge urgently;**
- 2. do not hold it solely as administrative correspondence if any part requires judicial consideration;**
- 3. confirm in writing when it was placed before the Judge;**
- 4. identify the Judge by full name and judicial title;**
- 5. provide me with the Judge's response in writing; and**
- 6. if Court administration considers that the document cannot or should not be placed before the Judge, state the reason and identify the applicable procedure for putting this urgent request before her.**

My next hearing is on 15 October 2026.

The purpose of this request is to obtain the records before that hearing, not to begin discussing how to obtain them when the hearing arrives.

FEAR OF RACISM AND DEATH — WHY I REQUIRE RELIABLE EVIDENCE

I consider it necessary to explain expressly why the words **“FEAR OF RACISM AND DEATH”** appear at the beginning of this document and why I am increasingly insisting upon written records, transcripts and preservation of the underlying audio evidence.

I am a Black person.

I am also presently an unrepresented Black defendant involved in criminal proceedings and required to place considerable reliance upon the Police, Crown Prosecution Service, Court, court administration and other parts of the criminal justice system.

I am aware of reports and documented public concern concerning **deaths of Black people in police custody and following contact with the police and other state authorities.**

That wider context affects the seriousness with which I approach questions concerning my own liberty, safety, treatment and ability to establish an accurate evidential record of what happens to me within the criminal justice system.

I do not consider it reasonable to expect me simply to ignore that context.

At the same time, I want my position recorded with absolute precision.

I am not alleging that the Judge, Court staff, Police, CPS, transcription provider or anybody else intends to kill me, physically harm me, unlawfully imprison me or deliberately cause harm to me.

I am not alleging that racism has caused the problems I have experienced.

I am not alleging that anti-Black racism or Institutional Racism has been established in these proceedings.

I make no allegation, no accusation, no assertion of improper motive, no assumption and no conclusion against any individual or institution at this stage.

My position is that my experiences have given me **reasons for concern, suspicion and loss of confidence**, and those circumstances make it increasingly important that I obtain evidence rather than being expected to rely upon verbal explanations, recollections or assurances.

SUSPICION IS NOT PROOF

I want the following distinction recorded expressly:

Concern is not proof.

Fear is not proof.

Suspicion is not proof.

Loss of confidence is not proof.

Asking whether racism may have played a part is not an allegation that racism did play a part.

Requesting evidence is not an accusation.

I do not presently know the explanation for all of the difficulties I have experienced.

There may be innocent explanations.

There may have been administrative mistakes.

There may have been communication failures.

There may have been failures by different organisations or individuals which are entirely unrelated.

There may be systemic problems.

There may be other explanations which I have not considered.

I cannot properly determine the explanation **without evidence**.

That is precisely why I am asking for the evidence.

MY EXPERIENCES HAVE INCREASED THE NEED FOR EVIDENCE

My concerns do not arise from one isolated conversation.

During the course of these proceedings I have experienced difficulties or uncertainty involving the **Police, CPS, Court administration, hearings, court notification, evidence access, transcription process and communications concerning what has occurred.**

The present document itself records that I still have not received the transcript of **26 August 2026**, notwithstanding the steps already taken to obtain it, and that my recollection is that the Judge stated on 24 September that I **should have received it by now.**

I now require transcripts for **26 August, 16 September and 24 September 2026.**

During the hearing on 24 September, I also asked about the underlying audio. My recollection is that the Judge told me that the audio was not available to me, but that if I identified something suspicious in a transcript I could ask her to authorise access to the audio.

I therefore find myself in a position where I need the transcript in order to establish objectively what was said and potentially to identify whether there is a basis for asking the Judge for access to the underlying audio.

That makes obtaining the transcripts and preserving the audio particularly important.

WHY VERBAL COMMUNICATION IS NO LONGER SUFFICIENT FOR ME

My concern also explains my position concerning telephone calls.

During the hearing I explained that I do not like relying upon telephone calls because **I require evidence of my communications.**

A written communication provides evidence of what I asked, when I asked it and what response I received. The present document already records my concern about establishing an accurate record and my recollection that the Judge nevertheless wanted me to telephone the remaining solicitors.

This is not because I automatically assume that somebody communicating verbally with me is dishonest.

It is because, where there is subsequently disagreement, confusion or uncertainty, a contemporaneous written record can be examined.

A telephone conversation may leave me dependent upon my recollection and the recollection of another person.

A transcript provides an objective record of what was said in court.

The underlying audio provides the primary recording against which a transcript may potentially be checked where a genuine issue arises.

Evidence protects me, but it also protects the Judge, the Court, the prosecution and everybody else involved from disputes based merely upon competing recollections.

Indeed, this document already records that I have become increasingly unwilling to depend upon undocumented verbal communications where my **liberty, legal rights and participation in criminal proceedings may be affected.**

WHY MY FEAR MAKES THE TRANSCRIPTS MORE IMPORTANT, NOT LESS

I understand that the Judge raised the financial cost of obtaining transcripts and, according to my recollection, advised me to consider carefully whether that expenditure was justified.

I have considered it.

The document already records my conclusion: I require the transcripts because I have lost confidence in relying solely upon verbal communications, recollections and second-hand accounts and need objective records against which those accounts can be checked.

For me, therefore, this is no longer merely a question of whether purchasing transcripts is financially convenient.

It is a question of being able to protect myself against **misunderstanding, inaccurate recollection, inaccurate records, unexplained discrepancies or other matters which may subsequently affect my position.**

I am not saying that any such problem will necessarily be found in the transcripts.

I am saying that **I need the evidence in order to find out.**

SAFEGUARDS AGAINST ANTI-BLACK RACISM AND INSTITUTIONAL RACISM

Because I am a Black person, I also require clarity concerning what safeguards exist against **anti-Black racism and Institutional Racism** in the decision-making processes affecting me.

That question should not itself be treated as an allegation of racism.

If effective safeguards exist and have operated properly, documentary evidence identifying those safeguards and how they operated can help address my concerns.

If safeguards exist but were not applied, I require that to be explained.

If there are no specific safeguards against anti-Black racism and Institutional Racism relevant to the particular decision-making processes affecting me, I ask that this be stated clearly for the record.

I am seeking **evidence before conclusions.**

MY POSITION

The accumulation of difficulties I have experienced has increased rather than reduced my need for reliable records.

I cannot responsibly make important decisions concerning criminal proceedings on the basis of confusion.

I cannot establish what was actually said merely by remembering conversations.

I cannot resolve disagreements about what happened through competing recollections.

I therefore require the available documentary evidence.

That includes the three transcripts.

It also requires preservation of the underlying audio recordings so that, if a legitimate question subsequently arises concerning a transcript, the primary recording remains available.

My fear does not justify making unsupported accusations.

My fear does justify asking questions.

My suspicion does not establish wrongdoing.

My suspicion provides a reason to seek clarification.

My loss of confidence does not establish misconduct.

My loss of confidence explains why I now require evidence.

That is the purpose of this request.

1. THREE TRANSCRIPTS REQUIRED

I presently require the transcripts of:

- 1. Hearing of 26 August 2026;**
- 2. Hearing of 16 September 2026; and**
- 3. Hearing of 24 September 2026.**

I have **not even received the first transcript**, despite the passage of time and the steps I have already taken to obtain it.

During the hearing on 24 September 2026, when I raised the outstanding transcript, my recollection is that the Judge stated that I **should have received it by now**.

That statement increases rather than resolves my concern.

If the Judge considers that I should already have received the transcript, but I have not received it, I require somebody to establish **why it has not been provided, where the process has failed, who presently has responsibility for resolving the problem, and what must now be done to ensure delivery**.

2. I REQUIRE ALL THREE BEFORE 15 OCTOBER 2026

My next hearing is presently listed for **15 October 2026 at 10:00**.

I require all three transcripts sufficiently in advance of that hearing to allow me a reasonable opportunity to read them, compare them with my written records and submissions, identify any matters requiring clarification, and make informed decisions concerning my position.

Merely receiving transcripts at or immediately before the next hearing would not resolve the problem. I require time to consider the evidence.

3. AUDIO RECORDINGS

During the hearing on 24 September I specifically asked the Judge about access to the underlying audio.

My recollection is that the Judge said that the audio was **not available to me**, but that if I identified something suspicious in a transcript I could ask her to authorise access to the audio.

I require the transcript of 24 September itself to establish precisely what the Judge said.

In the meantime, I request immediate preservation of the original audio recordings of the hearings of **26 August, 16 September and 24 September 2026**.

If my ability to seek access to an audio recording depends upon first examining the corresponding transcript and identifying a matter requiring investigation, preservation of the underlying audio is essential.

4. SOLICITORS — WHAT OCCURRED ON 24 SEPTEMBER

During the hearing the Judge asked me whether I had contacted the solicitors she had previously recommended.

I answered **yes** and reported my experience of attempting to obtain representation.

This was consistent with the written statement I had brought to Court, which records that I had acted upon the Judge's recommendation concerning the six local solicitors and that, as at 23 September, only one firm had provided a substantive response and that firm stated that it did not have capacity to take new matters.

My recollection is that the Judge recommended that I **chase the remaining solicitors by telephone**.

I explained that I do not like relying upon telephone calls because **I require evidence of my communications**.

Written communications provide a record of what I asked, when I asked it and what response I received. That is particularly important given the difficulties I am already experiencing in establishing an accurate record of events.

My recollection is that the Judge nevertheless insisted or strongly directed that I telephone the other five solicitors.

I then specifically asked the Judge whether she wanted **proof of my efforts to telephone them**.

My recollection is that the Judge said **no**.

I require the transcript to establish the precise words used by both the Judge and me during this exchange.

I also require clarification of whether the Judge's statement concerning contacting the five solicitors was:

a recommendation;

a direction;

an order; or

something else.

If it was a direction or order, I require its precise terms and the legal/procedural basis upon which it was made.

I also require confirmation of whether my compliance or non-compliance with that request will be relied upon at any subsequent hearing.

5. WHY I REQUIRE DOCUMENTARY EVIDENCE

I explained to the Judge that I do not like telephone communications because I need evidence.

The events surrounding these proceedings demonstrate why.

I have experienced uncertainty concerning hearing notification, the record of the 16 September hearing, movement of the 24 September hearing from Court 1 to Court 8, the police evidence portal, the outstanding transcript, the transcription process and the answers to questions I have placed before the Court.

I am therefore increasingly unwilling to depend upon undocumented verbal communications where my liberty, legal rights and participation in criminal proceedings may be affected.

This does not mean that I am accusing anybody of dishonesty.

It means that **documentary evidence protects everybody from subsequent disagreement about what happened.**

6. THE PRESENT POSITION IS BECOMING UNMANAGEABLE

I am an unrepresented defendant.

I am being required to understand and respond to significant procedural matters while important records remain outstanding.

My speaking statement of 24 September expressly recorded that I was still waiting for the 26 August transcript and required the official record of 16 September. It also asked whether the original audio recordings existed and could be obtained or listened to.

I have now attended a further hearing on 24 September, producing a **third transcript that I require**.

Consequently, rather than the evidential position becoming clearer as the proceedings progress, the number of outstanding records I need in order to reconstruct what has happened is increasing.

I consider this situation unacceptable and potentially prejudicial to my ability to understand the proceedings and make informed decisions.

7. COST OF TRANSCRIPTS

My recollection is that the Judge repeatedly informed me that I would have to pay for transcripts and that I should think carefully about whether that expenditure was justified.

I have considered that matter.

My experience has caused me to conclude that I require the transcripts.

I am not requesting them casually or simply because I would prefer to possess them.

I require them because I have lost confidence in relying solely upon verbal communications, recollections and second-hand accounts to establish what occurred during proceedings affecting me.

I need objective records against which my own recollection, the Court's records and subsequent communications can be checked.

8. REQUEST FOR JUDICIAL ASSISTANCE

I therefore respectfully request that the Judge and/or Court take **whatever judicial or administrative steps, directions or authorisations are lawfully necessary** to ensure that the three transcripts are obtained and supplied to me sufficiently before the hearing of **15 October 2026**.

If judicial authorisation is required for any particular transcript or record, I respectfully request that authorisation now.

If judicial authorisation is **not** required, please identify precisely who is responsible for securing and supplying each transcript and what action I must take.

I specifically request that the Court does not simply refer me back into a process which has already failed to produce the first transcript without first establishing what has happened to that outstanding request.

9. QUESTIONS REQUIRING ANSWERS

Please confirm:

1. Why have I still not received the transcript of 26 August 2026?
2. What is its present status?
3. Who presently has responsibility for it?
4. What did the Judge mean on 24 September when, according to my recollection, she said I should have received it by now?
5. What action will now be taken to secure it?
6. Has a transcript of 16 September 2026 been ordered?
7. If not, what must be done immediately to order it?
8. What must be done immediately to order the transcript of 24 September 2026?
9. Does the Court have power to authorise, expedite or otherwise facilitate production of these transcripts?
10. If yes, will the Judge exercise that power given that my next hearing is on 15 October 2026?
11. If no, who has the relevant authority?
12. Will the original audio recordings of all three hearings be preserved pending receipt and examination of the transcripts?
13. What procedure must I follow if a transcript appears incomplete or inconsistent with my recollection?
14. What procedure must I follow to make the application for access to the underlying audio which the Judge referred to on 24 September?
15. By what date can I reasonably expect to receive each of the three transcripts?

9. QUESTIONS PLACED BEFORE THE COURT ON 24 SEPTEMBER 2026 — NEED FOR A CLEAR RECORD

I attended the hearing on 24 September 2026 with a prepared written speaking statement containing specific questions and requests for clarification.

I did this deliberately because I am unrepresented and wanted there to be as little opportunity as possible for misunderstanding about what I was asking the Court.

There was considerable oral discussion during the hearing.

I found parts of what the Judge said difficult to follow.

I left the hearing confused about what, if anything, constituted the Court's direct answer to a number of the specific questions I had placed before it.

I want to be extremely careful about how I record this.

I am not presently asserting that the Judge refused to answer my questions.

I am not asserting that the Judge deliberately avoided my questions.

I am not alleging any improper motive.

What I am saying is that **I did not understand myself to have received clear, direct and identifiable answers to a number of the questions I had expressly put before the Court.**

If the Judge considers that those questions were answered, I respectfully request that the Court identify:

1. the particular question;
2. the answer given;
3. when during the hearing the answer was given;
4. whether the answer constituted a judicial decision, direction, observation, recommendation or other statement; and
5. where the answer can be identified in the official transcript or other court record.

If a question was not answered, I ask that this also be stated clearly.

This is one of the principal reasons why I require the transcript of 24 September 2026.

I do not want to reconstruct the Judge's words from memory.

I do not want my understanding to be substituted for what was actually said.

I want the **objective record**.

The transcript should enable me to compare my written questions against what was actually said in court and establish:

- which questions were answered;
- precisely what the answers were;
- which questions remain unanswered;
- what judicial decisions or directions were made;

- what was merely advice or recommendation;
- what actions I am required to take;
- what matters remain outstanding; and
- what decisions I am expected to make before the next hearing.

Where there is uncertainty about the transcript itself, I understand from what the Judge told me that I may potentially ask her to authorise access to the underlying audio.

That makes preservation of the audio essential.

10. THE 26 AUGUST 2026 TRANSCRIPT IS AN EXISTING OUTSTANDING MATTER

I want to prevent the position concerning the transcript of **26 August 2026** from being misunderstood.

I am not simply asking for information about how somebody might now order that transcript for the first time.

I have **already taken steps to obtain it.**

I previously placed an order with **Acolad UK Ltd** for the whole hearing of 26 August 2026.

The order was allocated **Job No. 1 738 688.**

The quoted/paid amount was **£162.72 including VAT.**

Despite the steps already taken, I still do not have the transcript.

My recollection is that when I raised this matter with the Judge on 24 September 2026, the Judge indicated that I **should have received it by now.**

I therefore require an investigation of the existing order.

Please do not simply tell me to make another application without first establishing what happened to the application/order already made.

I require confirmation of:

1. the present status of Job No. 1 738 688;
2. whether the Court authorised the transcription;
3. if so, when;
4. whether the authorisation was communicated to Acolad;
5. when it was communicated;
6. whether Acolad received everything necessary to prepare the transcript;

7. whether the transcript has been produced;
8. whether it has been sent;
9. if sent, when, how and to what address;
10. if it has not been produced or sent, why not;
11. who presently has responsibility for resolving the problem; and
12. what will now be done to ensure that I receive it urgently.

The question is therefore no longer merely:

“How do I obtain the transcript?”

The question is:

“Why have I not received a transcript which I already took steps to obtain and which, according to my recollection, the Judge herself said I should have received by now?”

11. SEPARATE STATUS REQUIRED FOR EACH OF THE THREE HEARINGS

There are now three separate hearings requiring transcripts:

26 August 2026

16 September 2026

24 September 2026

I require the position concerning each hearing to be identified separately.

For **each hearing**, please provide:

1. date of hearing;
2. whether an official audio recording exists — **YES or NO**;
3. whether that recording has been located — **YES or NO**;
4. whether that recording is presently preserved — **YES or NO**;
5. the applicable retention period;
6. whether a transcript has been ordered — **YES or NO**;
7. date upon which it was ordered;
8. person or organisation that placed the order;
9. transcription provider;
10. order/job/reference number;

11. present status;
12. whether judicial authorisation is required;
13. whether judicial authorisation has been obtained;
14. date of any authorisation;
15. whether payment is required;
16. amount required;
17. whether payment has already been made;
18. whether anything further is required from me;
19. person or organisation presently responsible for progressing the transcript; and
20. expected date of delivery to me.

I specifically request that the three hearings are **not combined into a general answer such as “your transcript request is being processed.”**

I require the status of **each transcript separately** so that responsibility and progress can be established.

12. FORMAL PRESERVATION OF THE UNDERLYING AUDIO RECORDINGS

I formally request the immediate preservation of the original audio recordings of:

26 August 2026;

16 September 2026; and

24 September 2026.

Please confirm separately for each hearing:

YES or NO — does the original recording exist?

YES or NO — has it been located?

YES or NO — has it been preserved?

Please also state the applicable retention period.

If any recording:

- does not exist;
- cannot be located;
- is incomplete;
- has been deleted;

- has been overwritten;
- has become corrupted;
- is otherwise inaccessible; or
- may be destroyed or overwritten before my transcript issues are resolved,

I require immediate written notification and a full explanation.

This is particularly important because my recollection is that the Judge told me that the audio was not presently available to me but that, if I identified something suspicious in a transcript, I could ask her to authorise access to the audio.

If that is the procedure, the underlying recording must remain available long enough for me to receive the transcript, examine it properly and, if necessary, make the application described by the Judge.

13. TELEPHONE CALLS TO THE FIVE REMAINING SOLICITORS — EVIDENCE OF COMPLIANCE

During the hearing the Judge asked whether I had contacted the solicitors she had previously recommended.

I explained what I had done.

My recollection is that the Judge then recommended, directed or strongly encouraged me to chase the remaining five solicitors by telephone.

I explained that I do not like relying upon telephone calls because **I require evidence.**

I then specifically asked the Judge whether she wanted proof that I had made the telephone calls.

My recollection is that she said **no.**

Again, I require the transcript to establish precisely what was said.

However, there is an obvious practical question which I now require the Court to answer.

If I am expected to telephone the five remaining solicitors, **how am I supposed to establish subsequently that I complied with what the Judge asked me to do?**

Please therefore confirm:

1. whether contacting the remaining five solicitors by telephone was a recommendation, direction, order or something else;
2. whether I am required to make those telephone calls before 15 October 2026;

3. whether the Court expects evidence that the calls were made;
4. if evidence is expected, what evidence will the Court accept;
5. if evidence is not expected, please confirm that expressly;
6. whether I may continue to communicate with solicitors in writing so that an evidential record exists;
7. whether my compliance or non-compliance with the request to telephone them will be relied upon at any subsequent hearing;
8. whether any decision concerning representation, my position as an unrepresented defendant, progress of the proceedings or any decision expected from me will be affected by whether those telephone calls have been completed.

I am not raising these questions to be difficult.

I am trying to avoid another future disagreement about **what I was told to do, whether I did it and whether evidence exists demonstrating that I did it.**

14. WHAT HAPPENS IF THE TRANSCRIPTS ARE NOT AVAILABLE IN SUFFICIENT TIME?

My next hearing is on **15 October 2026.**

I require all three transcripts sufficiently before that hearing to permit meaningful consideration.

It would not adequately resolve the problem for the three transcripts simply to arrive on 14 October, on the morning of 15 October, or at the hearing itself.

I require reasonable time to:

- read them;
- compare them against my contemporaneous written records;
- compare the 24 September transcript against the questions in my speaking statement;
- identify what was and was not answered;
- identify any possible discrepancy or omission;
- determine whether clarification is required;
- determine whether an application concerning the underlying audio is necessary;
- understand what decisions and directions have already been made; and
- make informed decisions concerning my position.

I therefore require the Court to answer the following question now:

If all three transcripts cannot be supplied sufficiently before 15 October 2026 to allow meaningful consideration, what procedure should I follow to place that fact before the Judge before the hearing?

I also require clarification of what consideration will be given to the effect of the missing transcripts upon my ability, as an unrepresented defendant, to understand the proceedings, participate effectively and make informed decisions.

I am **not presently asking the Court automatically to adjourn the hearing.**

I am asking the Court to identify now what procedure applies if essential records remain outstanding as the next hearing approaches.

I do not want to arrive on 15 October 2026 and discover for the first time what I should have done earlier.

15. THE ACCUMULATION OF PROBLEMS IS WHY I REQUIRE EVIDENCE

I want the Court to understand why I am being increasingly exacting about documentary evidence.

The concern does not arise from one isolated administrative problem.

I have experienced a succession of difficulties involving different parts of the criminal justice process.

I have experienced problems or uncertainty concerning Police evidence, CPS matters, court communications, hearing notification, the record of the 16 September hearing, the movement of the 24 September hearing from Court 1 to Court 8, the outstanding 26 August transcript, access to transcripts and audio, and determining precisely what was said and decided during hearings.

I am now being encouraged to use telephone communications with solicitors despite having explained why I prefer written evidence.

I do not know whether these matters are connected.

I do not know whether they result from ordinary mistakes.

I do not know whether they result from poor administration.

I do not know whether they result from systemic problems.

I do not know whether improper or discriminatory considerations have played any part.

I do not know.

That is precisely the point.

I am not filling the gaps in the evidence with accusations.

I am asking for the gaps to be filled with **evidence**.

What may appear individually to somebody else to be a minor administrative problem can become extremely serious when problems accumulate in criminal proceedings involving a person's liberty.

The accumulating difficulties are therefore themselves a reason for me to insist upon accurate written records, preservation of primary evidence and clear answers.

I am a Black person.

I have already explained why reports and documented public concern concerning deaths of Black people in police custody and following contact with state authorities form part of the context in which I assess my own safety and treatment.

That does not prove racism.

That does not prove misconduct.

That does not prove deliberate wrongdoing.

But neither should I be expected to disregard my experiences, abandon reasonable caution or simply accept unexplained failures without asking questions.

The more unexplained problems I experience, the greater my need for clarification and objective evidence becomes.

That is not an accusation.

It is a reason for investigation, documentation and transparency.

16. ADMINISTRATIVE AND JUDICIAL RESPONSIBILITY FOR THIS REQUEST

I request that this document is placed on the official record for:

Case Reference: 01GD1160226

Please acknowledge receipt in writing.

Please provide:

1. the reference allocated to this request;
2. confirmation that it has been associated with the correct case;
3. the full name, position and department of the person responsible for coordinating the response;
4. confirmation that the requests concerning preservation of audio have been communicated immediately to the appropriate record-holder;

5. confirmation that the outstanding 26 August transcript has been investigated rather than merely treated as a new transcript enquiry;
6. confirmation that any matters requiring judicial determination, direction or authorisation have been placed before the Judge;
7. identification of which matters are administrative and which require a judicial decision;
8. the timescale for a substantive response; and
9. the date by which I should expect that response.

Where the Court cannot answer a question, will not answer it, considers that it should not answer it, does not hold the information, or considers that another person or organisation is responsible, please identify the particular question and state the position expressly.

If responsibility rests with another person or organisation, please identify that person or organisation and explain why.

I require **question-by-question answers**, not a general response which leaves the substantive questions unresolved.

17. NO ACCUSATIONS OR CONCLUSIONS

I make **no allegation, no accusation, no assertion of improper motive, no assumption and no conclusion** concerning the Judge, Court staff, Police, CPS, transcription provider or any other person.

I am recording that my experience of these processes has substantially reduced my confidence in relying upon undocumented verbal communication.

I therefore require evidence.

If my recollection of anything said at the hearing on 24 September is inaccurate, I expressly invite the Court to correct me by reference to the official recording or transcript.

That is precisely why I require the transcript.

18. URGENCY

My next hearing is **15 October 2026**.


I require sufficient time **before that hearing** to examine all three transcripts and determine whether they accurately record the proceedings, what questions were answered, what matters remain outstanding, whether clarification is required and whether I need to make an application concerning any underlying audio.

I therefore request that this matter is treated as **urgent**.

I ask for a substantive written response identifying exactly what will now happen, who is responsible and the expected date upon which each transcript will be supplied.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Derrick Emmanuel Lynch', with a large circular flourish at the beginning and a long diagonal stroke extending to the right.




Derrick Emmanuel Lynch

A handwritten signature in black ink, reading 'John Canoe' in a cursive, slanted style.

also known as John Canoe

Defendant / Litigant in Person

Case Reference: 01GD1160226

REPARATIONS POLICE CODES FOR REPARATIONS POLICE CODES FOR REPARATIONS											
	IC1		W1 UK White W2 White European W9 Other White	QUESTION: White skin, Caucasoid, highest life value, pure blood, Aryan, Japhetic, Celtic, Nordic, Viking, Norse, Germanic, Slavic, Baltic, straight red/ginger or blond/blonde hair, blue, grey, green or pale eyes, not visibly contaminated with non-white blood but freckles are acceptable? UK RACE TAX 0-6%?	FAMILY: Absolute Nuclear, Egalitarian Nuclear –Low intergenerational cohabitation, early home-leaving, privacy-valued.						
	IC2		W9 Other White Dark European	QUESTION: Darkened skin, Sallow, Swarthy, Tawny, Olive skin, Melanochroid, Iberian Peninsula, Mediterranean, Balkan, straight dark hair (no curls), slightly lower life value, Dark eyes, visibly contaminated with non-white blood? UK RACE TAX 6-13%?	FAMILY: Endogamous Communitarian, Asymmetric –Patriarchal extended families, high respect for elders, strong honour culture.						
	IC3		B1 Caribbean B2 African B9 Other Black	QUESTION: Black Skin Negroid, Hamitic, Moor, Bantu, Cushite, Kaffir, Kinky/Curly Dark hair, Dark eyes? UK RACE TAX 33-66%?	FAMILY: Endogamous Communitarian, Asymmetric –Arranged marriages, multigenerational homes, patriarchal authority, family honour central.						
	IC4		A1 Indian A2 Pakistani A3 Bangladeshi A9 Other Asian	QUESTION: Brown/Dark Skin Asianoid, South Asian, Hindutva, Indo-Aryan, Dravidian, Straight hair, Dark eyes? UK RACE TAX 22-33%?	FAMILY: Endogamous Communitarian, Asymmetric –Arranged marriages, multigenerational homes, patriarchal authority, family honour central.						
	IC5		O1 Chinese O9 Any Other Oriental	QUESTION: Yellow Skin Mongoloid, Epicanthic fold, Slit eyes, Neotenic, Sinodonty, Straight hair that never curls, Dark eyes? UK RACE TAX 22-33%?	FAMILY: Absolute Nuclear, Filial-Flexible –Confucian values, filial piety, elder care in private home, education-centred.						
	IC6		M1 White & Black Caribbean M2 White & Black African M3 White & Asian M9 Any Other Mixed	QUESTION: Semitic, Jewish, Christian, Muslim, Arab, Israeli, North American, South American, Mixed Lineage, Mixed Race, Mongrel, North African, Stateless, visibly contaminated with Black blood? UK RACE TAX 0-66%?	FAMILY: Flexible System, Chosen Support –Mixed identity negotiation, hybrid parenting, often fluid structures, blended cultures.						
	IC7		NS Not Stated	QUESTION: Unseen, Unrecognised, Unhuman, Unknown, Alien, Computer, Robot, Artificial Intelligence, Humanoid? TAX FREE?	FAMILY: Anomic, Unknown or Chosen –Fragmented or concealed systems; may reflect institutional upbringing or systemic exclusion.						
UK Government Race and Ethnicity Codes. Are you a Racist?											
	IC1		W1 UK White W2 White European W9 Other White		IC3		B1 Caribbean B2 African B9 Other Black		IC6		M1 White & Black Caribbean M2 White & Black African M3 White & Asian M9 Any Other Mixed
1 White Race	WBRI	White British		3 Black Race	BAFR	Black - African		6 Mixed Race	MABL	Asian and Black	
1 White Race	WCOR	White British - Cornish		3 Black Race	BANN	Black - Angolan		6 Mixed Race	MACH	Asian and Chinese	
1 White Race	WENG	White British - English		3 Black Race	BAOF	Other Black African		6 Mixed Race	MAOE	Asian and Any Other Ethnic Group	
1 White Race	WSCO	White British - Scottish		3 Black Race	BCON	Black - Congolese		6 Mixed Race	MBCH	Black and Chinese	
1 White Race	WWEL	White British - Welsh		3 Black Race	BCRB	Black Caribbean		6 Mixed Race	MBOE	Black and Any Other Ethnic Group	
1 White Race	WOWB	White British Other		3 Black Race	BEUR	Black European		6 Mixed Race	MCOE	Chinese and Any Other Ethnic Group	
1 White Race	WIRI	White - Irish		3 Black Race	BGHA	Black - Ghanalan		6 Mixed Race	MOTH	Any Other Mixed Background	
1 White Race	WIRT	White - Traveller of Irish Heritage		3 Black Race	BNAM	Black North American		6 Mixed Race	MOTM	Other Mixed Background	
1 White Race	WEEU	White Eastern European		3 Black Race	BNGN	Black - Nigerian		6 Mixed Race	MWAI	White and Indian	
1 White Race	WEUR	White European		3 Black Race	BOTB	Other Black		6 Mixed Race	MWAO	White and Any Other Asian Background	
1 White Race	WOTW	White Other		3 Black Race	BOTH	Any Other Black Background		6 Mixed Race	MWAP	White and Pakistani	
1 White Race	WWEU	White Western European		3 Black Race	BSLN	Black - Sierra Leonean		6 Mixed Race	MWAS	White and Asian	
				3 Black Race	BSOM	Black - Somali		6 Mixed Race	MWBA	White and Black African	
				3 Black Race	BSUD	Black - Sudanese		6 Mixed Race	MWBC	White and Black Caribbean	
								6 Mixed Race	MWCH	White and Chinese	
								6 Mixed Race	MWOC	White and Any Other Ethnic Group	
								6 Other Race	OAFG	Afghan	
								6 Other Race	OARA	Arab Other	
								6 Other Race	OEGY	Egyptian	
								6 Other Race	OFIL	Filipino	
								6 Other Race	OIRN	Iranian	
								6 Other Race	OIRQ	Iraqi	
								6 Other Race	OJPN	Japanese	
								6 Other Race	OKOR	Korean	
								6 Other Race	OKRD	Kurdish	
								6 Other Race	OLAM	Latin/ South/ Central American	
								6 Other Race	OLEB	Lebanese	
								6 Other Race	OLIB	Libyan	
								6 Other Race	OMAL	Malay	
								6 Other Race	OMRC	Moroccan	
								6 Other Race	OOEG	Other Ethnic Group	
								6 Other Race	OOth	Any Other Ethnic Group	
								6 Other Race	OPOL	Polynesian	
								6 Other Race	OTHA	Thai	
								6 Other Race	OVIE	Vietnamese	
								6 Other Race	OYEM	Yemeni	
	IC2		W9 Other White (Dark European)		IC4		A1 Indian A2 Pakistani A3 Bangladeshi A9 Other Asian		IC6		M1 White & Black Caribbean M2 White & Black African M3 White & Asian M9 Any Other Mixed
2 White Race	WALB	Albanian		4 Asian Race	AAFR	African Asian		6 Other Race	OAFG	Afghan	
2 White Race	WOTH	Any Other White Background		4 Asian Race	ABAN	Bangladeshi		6 Other Race	OARA	Arab Other	
2 White Race	WBOS	Bosnian- Herzegovinian		4 Asian Race	AIND	Indian		6 Other Race	OEGY	Egyptian	
2 White Race	WCRO	Croatian		4 Asian Race	AKAO	Kashmiri Other		6 Other Race	OFIL	Filipino	
2 White Race	WGRK	Greek		4 Asian Race	AKPA	Kashmiri Pakistani		6 Other Race	OIRN	Iranian	
2 White Race	WGRC	Greek Cypriot		4 Asian Race	AMPK	Mirpuri Pakistani		6 Other Race	OIRQ	Iraqi	
2 White Race	WGRE	Greek/ Greek Cypriot		4 Asian Race	ANEP	Nepali		6 Other Race	OJPN	Japanese	
2 White Race	WROM	Gypsy / Roma		4 Asian Race	AOTK	Other Pakistani		6 Other Race	OKOR	Korean	
2 White Race	WITA	Italian		4 Asian Race	AOTA	Other Asian		6 Other Race	OKRD	Kurdish	
2 White Race	WKOS	Kosovan		4 Asian Race	AOTH	Any Other Asian Background		6 Other Race	OLAM	Latin/ South/ Central American	
2 White Race	WPOR	Portuguese		4 Asian Race	APKN	Pakistani		6 Other Race	OLEB	Lebanese	
2 White Race	WSER	Serbian		4 Asian Race	ASLT	Sri Lankan Tamil		6 Other Race	OLIB	Libyan	
2 White Race	WTUK	Turkish		4 Asian Race	ASNL	Sri Lankan Sinhalese		6 Other Race	OMAL	Malay	
2 White Race	WTUC	Turkish Cypriot		4 Asian Race	ASRO	Sri Lankan Other		6 Other Race	OMRC	Moroccan	
2 White Race	WTUR	Turkish/ Turkish Cypriot						6 Other Race	OOEG	Other Ethnic Group	
								6 Other Race	OOth	Any Other Ethnic Group	
								6 Other Race	OPOL	Polynesian	
								6 Other Race	OTHA	Thai	
								6 Other Race	OVIE	Vietnamese	
								6 Other Race	OYEM	Yemeni	
	IC5		O1 Chinese O9 Any Other Oriental		IC7		NS Not Stated		IC7		NS Not Stated
7 Not Known Yet	NOBT	Information Not Yet Obtained		7 Rebel Race	REFU	Refused					