

**SUPPLEMENTARY URGENT EVIDENCE — ACOLAD CONFIRMATION RECEIVED  
AFTER SUBMISSION OF MY FORMAL REQUEST**

**Case Reference:** 01GD1160226

**Defendant:** Derrick Emmanuel Lynch, also known as John Canoe

**Court:** Wood Green Crown Court

**For the urgent attention of:** Her Honour Judge E. Deacon KC

**Next Hearing:** 15 October 2026 at 10:00

Dear Sir or Madam,

I write further to my document dated **24 September 2026**, headed:

**“URGENT REQUEST TO HHJ E. DEACON KC FOR IMMEDIATE JUDICIAL  
AUTHORISATION, DIRECTIONS OR OTHER NECESSARY ACTION TO SECURE THREE  
TRANSCRIPTS BEFORE THE NEXT HEARING.”**

That document has already been submitted and should remain unchanged.

I have subsequently identified material evidence directly relevant to the matters raised in it. I therefore request that this supplementary communication and the attached email correspondence are:

1. placed on the official court file under **Case Reference 01GD1160226**;
2. associated expressly with my urgent request of 24 September 2026;
3. placed before **HHJ E. Deacon KC** together with that request; and
4. taken into account when determining what action is now required concerning the outstanding transcripts.

**NEW DOCUMENTARY EVIDENCE — ACOLAD REFERENCE 1742056**

On **24 September 2026 at 12:47**, Acolad's UK Legal Department responded in writing concerning my request for the transcript of the hearing of **16 September 2026**.

Acolad allocated **Reference 1742056** and asked:

**“have you filed an EX107 with them for sign off, and if so, could we have a copy please? We haven’t received anything from them as of yet.”**

That statement is contained in the attached email correspondence.

This is directly relevant because on **16 September 2026**, when I originally requested the complete transcript of that day's hearing, I expressly told Wood Green Crown Court:

**“If I am required to complete a further EX107 or other transcript request form, please tell me immediately and provide the necessary instructions.”**

I also expressly requested confirmation of “**any judicial approval required.**”

I additionally asked the Court to acknowledge receipt, place the request on the official Court file, bring it urgently to the attention of the Judge, confirm the procedure and preserve the relevant recording.

Acolad's subsequent statement therefore raises a specific administrative and/or procedural issue requiring clarification.

### **QUESTIONS REQUIRING DIRECT ANSWERS**

Please confirm:

1. Did Wood Green Crown Court receive my transcript request of **16 September 2026 — YES or NO?**
2. Was that request placed on the official Court file — **YES or NO?**
3. Was it brought to the attention of the Judge — **YES or NO?**
4. Was an EX107 required — **YES or NO?**
5. If YES, why was I not informed of that requirement following my express written request to be told immediately?
6. Was an EX107 prepared, submitted or processed — **YES or NO?**
7. Was judicial sign-off required — **YES or NO?**
8. If YES, was judicial sign-off obtained — **YES or NO?**
9. If obtained, on what date and by which Judge?
10. Did the Court transmit anything concerning this transcript request to Acolad — **YES or NO?**
11. If YES, what was transmitted, on what date and by what method?
12. If NO, why had Acolad received nothing from the Court as at **24 September 2026?**
13. Who was responsible for progressing the request between **16 September and 24 September 2026?**
14. Who is responsible for progressing it now?
15. What, if anything, is presently required from me?
16. What action will now be taken to secure the transcript urgently?
17. Has the original audio recording of the hearing of 16 September 2026 been preserved — **YES or NO?**

## **PURPOSE OF THIS SUPPLEMENTARY COMMUNICATION**

I am not making an allegation about why this has occurred.

I make **no allegation, no accusation, no assertion of improper motive, no assumption and no conclusion** against the Judge, Court administration, Acolad or anybody else.

The documentary position presently appears to be that I expressly asked the Court on 16 September to tell me immediately if an EX107 or other procedure was required, while Acolad subsequently stated on 24 September that it had received nothing from the Court and asked whether an EX107 had been filed for sign-off.

I require the documentary gap between those two communications to be explained.


This supplementary evidence directly supports my existing request that responsibility for the three outstanding transcripts is identified precisely rather than moving between the Court, transcription provider or another person without it being established who is responsible for what. My formal request already makes that issue explicit.

Please **do not treat this as a replacement for my formal request dated 24 September 2026**. It is supplementary evidence to be read together with that document.

Where a question cannot be answered, will not be answered, should not be answered, is outside the recipient's responsibility, or requires determination by another person, please identify the particular question and state that position expressly.

I respectfully request written acknowledgement that this supplementary communication and the attached Acolad correspondence have been placed on the Court file and brought to the attention of **HHJ E. Deacon KC**.

Yours faithfully,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Derrick', with a large circular flourish at the start and a long, sweeping horizontal line extending to the right.

**Derrick Emmanuel Lynch**

A handwritten signature in black ink, reading 'John Canoe' in a cursive, slanted script.

**also known as John Canoe**

Defendant / Litigant in Person

Case Reference: **01GD1160226**

23 Jersey House

2 Eastfield Road

Enfield

EN3 5UY

United Kingdom

Email: [derrick\\_lynch@hotmail.co.uk](mailto:derrick_lynch@hotmail.co.uk)

**Attachment:** Email correspondence — Acolad / Wood Green Crown Court — Acolad  
Reference **1742056** — including Acolad response dated 24 September 2026.