URGENT — R v Derrick Emmanuel Lynch — 01GD1160226 — Apparent Breach of Judicial Disclosure Directions Dated 15 October 2026 — Prejudice to Defence Preparation — Safeguarding Concerns — Request for Urgent Directions and Reservation of Civil Rights

1 message

ic3csi Crime Scene Investigators <thereparationnation@gmail.com> 5 November 2026 at 08:36
To: woodgreencrowncourt <woodgreencrowncourt@justice.gov.uk>
Cc: centralcasework@cps.gov.uk, enquiries@cps.gov.uk, information.management@cps.gov.uk, Derrick Lynch <derrick_lynch@hotmail.co.uk>, "ivegn@yahoo.co.uk" <ivegn@yahoo.co.uk>, paralegalservices <paralegalservices@gmail.com>

Derrick Emmanuel Lynch
Also known as John Canoe
23 Jersey House
2 Eastfield Road
Enfield
EN3 5UY
United Kingdom

Date: 5 November 2026

BY EMAIL AND POST

To:
The Crown Court Office
For the Attention of Her Honour Judge Deacon KC
Wood Green Crown Court
Woodall House
Lordship Lane
Wood Green
London N22 5LF

Copied to:
The Crown Prosecution Service
The Prosecutor Responsible for Case 01GD1160226

RE: R v DERRICK EMMANUEL LYNCH
CASE NUMBER: 01GD1160226

FORMAL NOTICE OF APPARENT NON-COMPLIANCE WITH JUDICIAL DISCLOSURE DIRECTIONS, PREJUDICE TO DEFENCE PREPARATION, CONCERNS REGARDING ANTI-BLACK RACISM AND INSTITUTIONAL RACISM, REQUEST FOR URGENT REMEDIAL DIRECTIONS, AND EXPRESS RESERVATION OF CIVIL RIGHTS

Dear Sir or Madam,

1. PURPOSE OF THIS CORRESPONDENCE

I write as the defendant in the above criminal proceedings, presently acting without legal representation.

This correspondence concerns the apparent failure of the prosecution to comply with the express directions made by Her Honour Judge Deacon KC at Wood Green Crown Court on 15 October 2026.

I request that this letter be placed before the presiding judge, retained on the court record, and brought to the immediate attention of the prosecution.

The matters raised are serious because they concern my ability to prepare and present my defence effectively, the fairness of the proceedings, and my continuing concerns regarding safeguards against anti-Black racism and Institutional Racism in the relevant decision-making processes.

I also place the relevant authorities on notice that I am considering my legal position concerning the stress, distress and psychological impact of these events, including whether any basis exists for future civil proceedings.

2. THE JUDICIAL DIRECTIONS OF 15 OCTOBER 2026

At the hearing on 15 October 2026, Her Honour Judge Deacon KC expressly explained the Stage One disclosure obligations of the prosecution.

The official transcript records the judge stating:

"Stage one of the preparation of the case is when the prosecution serves on you the material it is relying on, and also gives you a schedule of the material that it is not relying on"

The judge then stated:

"they have to do that by 4 November"

The judge additionally specified that, because I was unrepresented:

"they must serve it on you at your home address"

The prosecution, represented at that hearing by Mr Carmichael, confirmed the Stage One date of 4 November 2026.

These directions are recorded in the official transcript and are therefore capable of independent verification.

3. APPARENT FAILURE TO COMPLY

Today is 5 November 2026.

As at the date and time of this correspondence, I have not received the Stage One prosecution material that the judge directed should be served upon me at my home address.

Accordingly, on the information presently available to me, the prosecution appears not to have complied with the court's directions by the prescribed deadline.

I expressly acknowledge that there may be facts concerning attempted service, dispatch, delivery or other administrative matters of which I am presently unaware.

I therefore request documentary evidence establishing whether compliance occurred.

If the prosecution maintains that it complied, I request the precise date, time, method and address of service, together with the documents relied upon to establish compliance.

If it did not comply, I request a clear written explanation of the circumstances and the steps being taken to remedy the position.

4. PREJUDICE TO MY DEFENCE PREPARATION

The court directed that I serve my defence statement by 2 December 2026.

The judge explained that my defence statement would need to identify the general nature of my defence, the relevant factual matters, disputed prosecution evidence and any legal issues I intended to raise.

It is therefore important that I have sufficient opportunity to examine the prosecution's case before being required to respond to it.

I cannot reasonably be expected to identify all relevant disputed matters in material I have not received.

Every day of delay potentially reduces the time available to examine the prosecution evidence, identify discrepancies, obtain advice, undertake further enquiries and prepare an informed defence statement.

This is particularly important because I remain unrepresented and am undertaking substantial preparatory work personally.

I respectfully ask the court to ensure that the prosecution's apparent failure does not result in unfair prejudice to my defence.

I further request that the court consider whether the defence statement deadline should be extended, with any revised deadline allowing adequate time following actual receipt of the required prosecution material.

I do not assume that the existing deadline has automatically been suspended or extended. I expressly seek a judicial determination.

5. MY STRONGLY HELD SUSPICION CONCERNING ANTI-BLACK RACISM AND INSTITUTIONAL RACISM

I am a Black person, classified IC3 Black under the relevant UK police ethnic appearance classification.

Throughout these proceedings I have repeatedly requested information concerning safeguards against anti-Black racism and Institutional Racism in the decision-making processes affecting my case.

I acknowledge the assurances previously given by the court.

However, in light of the apparent failure to comply with the disclosure directions, and the resulting additional difficulties imposed upon me as an unrepresented Black defendant, I now **"strongly suspect"** that anti-Black racism and Institutional Racism may be relevant to the decision-making processes that have produced the present circumstances.

I wish to be absolutely precise about the meaning of that statement.

No accusations, no allegations, no assumptions, no assertions, and I have come to no conclusions.

My strongly held suspicion is not presented as a finding of fact.

I am not asserting that any identified individual has acted with discriminatory intent.

I am not asserting that the apparent failure to disclose material was deliberately caused by racism.

I am requesting an evidence-based examination of the relevant decisions, procedures, institutional safeguards and circumstances.

My questions must not be converted into allegations or conclusions that I have not made.

6. REQUEST FOR INFORMATION ABOUT SAFEGUARDS

I request that the prosecution identify, in writing:

1. The specific safeguards against anti-Black racism and Institutional Racism applicable to disclosure decisions in my case.

2. The legislation, procedural rules, prosecution policies or operational guidance establishing those safeguards.

3. The person or office responsible for ensuring compliance with those safeguards.

4. Whether the relevant disclosure decisions were subject to supervision, review or quality assurance.

5. Whether any assessment was undertaken of the potential impact of delayed disclosure upon an unrepresented Black defendant.

6. What procedures exist for identifying and addressing possible discriminatory outcomes, including institutional or systemic failures.

7. What independent review, complaint or redress mechanisms are available where concerns arise.

8. Whether any relevant safeguards were engaged in relation to the apparent non-compliance in my case and, if so, what documentary records exist.

I am seeking specific, verifiable information rather than general assurances.

I do not ask the court to presume that discrimination has occurred.

7. STRESS, DISTRESS, TRAUMA AND POSSIBLE CIVIL PROCEEDINGS

I wish to place on record that the apparent failure to comply with the disclosure directions is causing me additional stress, distress and anxiety concerning my ability to defend myself effectively.

The uncertainty surrounding the prosecution's compliance, combined with the continuing obligation to prepare a defence statement within the existing timetable, has placed additional pressure upon me.

I regard these matters as serious.

I am concerned about the cumulative psychological impact of having to manage these proceedings without representation while attempting to establish whether court directions have been followed.

I therefore expressly reserve my position concerning any legal remedies that may be available in respect of stress, distress, psychological injury, discrimination, procedural unfairness or other legally recognised harm, should the necessary factual and legal grounds be established.

I may choose to pursue civil proceedings against any legally responsible person or public authority if the evidence and applicable law justify such action.

This statement is a reservation of rights and notice of a matter under consideration.

It is not an assertion that any particular person or institution is presently liable in damages.

I recognise that the availability of compensation would depend upon establishing an actionable legal basis, the necessary evidence, any applicable immunity or statutory restriction, causation and legally recoverable loss.

I request that relevant records be preserved, including disclosure records, service records, internal communications, decision logs and records of any investigation into the apparent failure.

8. REQUEST FOR URGENT WRITTEN ANSWERS

I request the following questions be answered individually and expressly.

Question 1: Did the prosecution comply with the judicial direction requiring Stage One disclosure by 4 November 2026? Yes or no?

Question 2: If yes, what documents were served, on what date, by what method and to which postal address?

Question 3: What documentary evidence exists establishing that service was effected?

Question 4: If no, what is the explanation for non-compliance?

Question 5: Who was responsible for arranging, supervising and confirming compliance?

Question 6: When will the complete outstanding material be served upon me?

Question 7: Has the prosecution notified the court of any failure or anticipated failure to comply with its directions? Yes or no?

Question 8: Has any application been made to extend or vary the prosecution's disclosure deadline? If so, when and with what outcome?

Question 9: What safeguards against anti-Black racism and Institutional Racism applied to the relevant decisions, and what records demonstrate their application?

Question 10: Does the prosecution accept that late service may reduce the time available for me to prepare my defence statement?

Question 11: Will the prosecution consent to an appropriate extension of the defence statement deadline if the required material was not served on time?

Question 12: What arrangements will be made to ensure that I am not procedurally disadvantaged by any proven non-compliance?

Where an answer cannot presently be provided, I request identification of the reason, the responsible person or office, and the date by which an answer can reasonably be expected.

9. REQUEST FOR JUDICIAL DIRECTIONS

I respectfully request that the court consider:

A. Directing the prosecution to confirm immediately whether Stage One disclosure has been completed.

B. Directing service of any outstanding material without further avoidable delay.

C. Requiring a written explanation for any non-compliance.

D. Reviewing the existing defence statement deadline of 2 December 2026 in light of the actual date on which the required material is received.

E. Ensuring that I am given sufficient time to examine the material and prepare my defence.

F. Recording my continuing concerns regarding anti-Black racism and Institutional Racism accurately, without converting my suspicions into allegations or findings.

G. Providing any further case-management directions necessary to protect my effective participation and the fairness of the proceedings.

If the court considers that a formal application or prescribed procedure is necessary, I request that the relevant requirement be identified promptly.

10. PRESERVATION OF RECORDS

I request preservation of records relevant to the apparent non-compliance, including:

- The original judicial directions and any subsequent variations.
- The prosecution disclosure records and schedules.
- Postal dispatch, tracking and delivery records.
- Communications concerning the 4 November 2026 deadline.
- Records identifying responsibility for disclosure and service.
- Relevant supervisory or compliance reviews.
- Records of any complaint, investigation or remedial action arising from the apparent failure.

This request is made to protect the integrity of the factual record and to enable any future lawful review or proceedings.

11. NO WAIVER OF RIGHTS

Nothing in this correspondence should be interpreted as:

- Acceptance that the prosecution has complied with the court's directions.
- Agreement that late disclosure causes no prejudice.

- Consent to the existing defence timetable irrespective of the actual disclosure position.
- Abandonment of my requests concerning safeguards against anti-Black racism and Institutional Racism.
- An allegation or conclusion concerning the motives of any individual.
- Waiver of any available procedural objection, application, complaint or civil remedy.

I remain willing to participate in the criminal proceedings and prepare my defence.

My objective is to ensure that I can do so fairly, effectively and on the basis of the material that the prosecution has been directed to provide.

12. REQUEST FOR RESPONSE

Given that the disclosure deadline has apparently passed and that the defence statement deadline remains 2 December 2026, I request urgent consideration.

I ask for:

1. Written acknowledgement of receipt within two working days.
2. Immediate referral to the relevant court officer and prosecution caseworker.
3. A substantive response to the questions concerning disclosure within five working days, or an explanation of when that response will be available.
4. Prompt consideration of whether further judicial directions are required.
5. Confirmation that this correspondence has been retained on the court record.

The requested response periods are proposed because of the urgency of the circumstances; they are not presented as deadlines already imposed by the court.

13. CONCLUSION

The judicial directions of 15 October 2026 are recorded in the official transcript.

The prosecution was directed to serve Stage One material by 4 November 2026 at my home address.

As at 5 November 2026, I have not received that material.

I request that the factual position be established without delay, that any non-compliance be remedied, and that my ability to prepare my defence be protected.

I also request that my concerns concerning anti-Black racism and Institutional Racism be treated seriously, accurately and without mischaracterisation.

For the avoidance of doubt:

No accusations, no allegations, no assumptions, no assertions, and I have come to no conclusions.

I seek facts, accountability, transparency, effective safeguards and procedural fairness.

Please acknowledge receipt and confirm the action being taken.

Yours faithfully,

Derrick Emmanuel Lynch
Also known as John Canoe
Defendant in Person

23 Jersey House
2 Eastfield Road
Enfield
EN3 5UY
United Kingdom

Case Reference: 01GD1160226

Attachment: Official transcript of hearing dated 15 October 2026 — relevant Stage One disclosure directions.

---

2 attachments



1 Race and Ethnicity Letter.png
3921K

1745670_R v Derrick Lynch_Whole_Hearing_15.10.26.docx
64K