

FORMAL REQUEST FOR CLARIFICATION OF UNANSWERED MATTERS FOLLOWING COMPLETION OF FOI INTERNAL REVIEW — REF: 01/FOI/26/051190/I

3 messages

ic3csi Crime Scene Investigators <thereparationnation@gmail.com>

23 September 2026 at 15:16

To: MPSDataOffice@met.police.uk

Cc: Derrick Lynch <derrick_lynch@hotmail.co.uk>, datarightscorplaints@met.police.uk, DataRights@met.police.uk, dpo1@policeconduct.gov.uk

To: MPSDataOffice@met.police.uk

Subject: FORMAL REQUEST FOR CLARIFICATION OF UNANSWERED MATTERS FOLLOWING COMPLETION OF FOI INTERNAL REVIEW — REF: 01/FOI/26/051190/I

Dear Data Rights,

Please find attached my formal document entitled:

FORMAL REQUEST FOR CLARIFICATION OF UNANSWERED MATTERS FOLLOWING COMPLETION OF FOI INTERNAL REVIEW
Freedom of Information Reference: 01/FOI/26/051190/I

I acknowledge that the Metropolitan Police Service has now completed the internal review and made its decision. The attached correspondence **does not ask the MPS simply to conduct the same internal review again**. It addresses substantive matters which remain unanswered following that decision, including the operational basis and authorisation for the relevant deployment, the application of the disclosed Benbow Protocol, preservation of relevant evidence, responsibility for the outstanding matters, and the applicable equality and safeguarding arrangements.

I am a Black person. I am concerned about anti-Black racism and Institutional Racism within police decision-making processes and about the history and continuing public concern surrounding deaths of Black people following or during police custody or contact. The attached document therefore asks specifically what safeguards against anti-Black racism and Institutional Racism existed, whether they applied to the relevant decision-making processes, and whether they were actually used.

For the avoidance of doubt, **I make no allegation, no accusation, no assertion, no assumption and have reached no conclusion that anti-Black racism or Institutional Racism determined the decisions concerning me.**

The attached document contains **82 numbered questions**. They are not rhetorical. I take each question seriously and request a complete, question-by-question written response. Where a question is capable of being answered **YES or NO**, please begin with YES or NO and then provide any necessary explanation.

If Data Rights is not the competent department to answer any particular question, please identify the competent department and refer the matter appropriately. If the MPS cannot answer, will not answer, considers that it should not answer, does not hold the relevant information, considers another organisation responsible, or relies upon any other reason for not answering, please state that expressly against the relevant question and provide the reason.

Please:

1. confirm receipt of this email and the attached eight-page document;
2. confirm that it has been placed on the record under reference 01/FOI/26/051190/I;
3. identify the department, office or officer responsible for dealing with the substantive outstanding matters;
4. confirm whether the correspondence will be referred internally where matters fall outside the responsibility of Data Rights; and
5. provide a reference number for any separate complaint, operational, Professional Standards, equality or other process created as a result of that referral.

I intend to retain the response as a formal record and to report the outcome accurately to my family and community.

All substantive communications must be provided in writing.

Yours faithfully,

Derrick Lynch
also known as John Canoe

Attachment:
FORMAL REQUEST FOR CLARIFICATION OF UNANSWERED MATTERS FOLLOWING COMPLETION OF FOI INTERNAL REVIEW.pdf
 **FORMAL REQUEST FOR CLARIFICATION OF UNANSWERED MATTERS FOLLOWING COMPLETION OF FOI INTERNAL REVIEW.pdf**
121K

DataRightsDONOTREPLY@met.police.uk <DataRightsDONOTREPLY@met.police.uk>

1 October 2026 at 11:45

To: thereparationnation@gmail.com

Good morning Derrick Lynch,

Thank you for your e-mail.

Please refer to the attached response letter for clarification, as we require some further information from you should you wish to continue with your Freedom of information request.

Please also note the additional guidance provided in relation to questions 27 to 40.

Your new unique case reference number is: 01/FOI/26/055460/F.

When responding, please ensure you reply to DataRights@met.police.uk only.

Kind regards,



Government Security Classification: **Official**

[Quoted text hidden]

NOTICE - This email and any attachments are solely for the intended recipient and may be confidential. If you have received this email in error, please notify the sender and delete it from your system. Do not use, copy or disclose the information contained in this email or in any attachment without the permission of the sender. Metropolitan Police Service (MPS) communication systems are monitored to the extent permitted by law and any email and/or attachments may be read by monitoring staff. Only specified personnel are authorised to conclude binding agreements on behalf of the MPS by email and no responsibility is accepted for unauthorised agreements reached with other personnel. While reasonable precautions have been taken to ensure no viruses are present in this email, its security and that of any attachments cannot be guaranteed.

 **01-FOI-26-055460-F_2026-10-01_DATA RIGHTS 2024 (FOI) -REDEF.pdf**
198K

ic3csi Crime Scene Investigators <thereparationnation@gmail.com>

1 October 2026 at 17:09

To: DataRightsDONOTREPLY@met.police.uk

Cc: foicomplaints@met.police.uk, professionalstandards@met.police.uk, DPSMailbox-CRU@met.police.uk, NA.Mailbox-NorthArea@met.police.uk, DPA&FOIA_NorthAreaBCU@met.police.uk, feedback@met.police.uk, Commissioner@met.police.uk, professionalstandards@cityoflondon.police.uk, PSD Public <PSDPublic@cityoflondon.police.uk>, foi@city-of-london.police.uk, Data Protection <data.protection@cityoflondon.police.uk>, enquiries <enquiries@policeconduct.gov.uk>, general.enquiries@policeconduct.gov.uk, london@policeconduct.gov.uk, policing@cityoflondon.gov.uk, complaints@cityoflondon.gov.uk, Rachael.Waldron@cityoflondon.gov.uk, contact@ico.org.uk, casework@ico.org.uk, complaints@mopac.london.gov.uk, info@mopac.london.gov.uk, pcc@london.gov.uk, policeintegrityunit@homeoffice.gov.uk, policingpolicy@homeoffice.gov.uk, Correspondence Team <correspondence@equalityhumanrights.com>, homeaffcom@parliament.uk, enfield.data.protection.officer@enfield.gov.uk, Daphne Browne-Kamara <Daphne.Browne-Kamara@enfield.gov.uk>

Below is a **copy-and-paste cover email** to send with the completed document attached.

To:
DataRights@met.police.uk

Cc:
foicomplaints@met.police.uk; professionalstandards@met.police.uk; DPSMailbox-CRU@met.police.uk; NA.Mailbox-NorthArea@met.police.uk; DPA&FOIA_NorthAreaBCU@met.police.uk; feedback@met.police.uk; Commissioner@met.police.uk; professionalstandards@cityoflondon.police.uk; PSDPublic@cityoflondon.police.uk; foi@city-of-london.police.uk; data.protection@cityoflondon.police.uk; enquiries@policeconduct.gov.uk; general.enquiries@policeconduct.gov.uk; london@policeconduct.gov.uk; policing@cityoflondon.gov.uk; complaints@cityoflondon.gov.uk; Rachael.Waldron@cityoflondon.gov.uk; contact@ico.org.uk; casework@ico.org.uk; complaints@mopac.london.gov.uk; info@mopac.london.gov.uk; pcc@london.gov.uk; policeintegrityunit@homeoffice.gov.uk; policingpolicy@homeoffice.gov.uk; correspondence@equalityhumanrights.com; homeaffcom@parliament.uk; enfield.data.protection.officer@enfield.gov.uk; Daphne.Browne-Kamara@enfield.gov.uk

Subject:
FORMAL CLARIFICATION — FOI 01/FOI/26/055460/F — CITY OF LONDON POLICE DEPLOYMENT — MET CAD 2248 (31/10/2025) — FEAR OF RACISM AND DEATH

Dear Data Rights,

Please find attached my:

FORMAL CLARIFICATION AND CONSOLIDATED REQUEST FOR RECORDED INFORMATION
Freedom of Information Reference: 01/FOI/26/055460/F

This document is submitted in direct response to the Metropolitan Police Service request dated **1 October 2026** asking:

“Please confirm precisely what City of London Police deployment you are interested in?”

I have now identified the deployment comprehensively.

The deployment concerned is:

The attendance of four City of London Police officers at 23 Jersey House, 2 Eastfield Road, Enfield EN3 5UY, on 31 October 2025, between approximately 11:15 and 11:50, with the central period approximately 11:20–11:40, in circumstances in which a voluntary interview was referenced, and recorded or cross-referenced by the Metropolitan Police Service under CAD 2248 (31/10/2025).

The MPS itself previously confirmed to me in writing:

“City of London Police did attend your property, CAD 2248 31/10/25 refers.”

The attached document is intended to remove any remaining ambiguity concerning the deployment and to enable the MPS to proceed to substantive consideration of the **82 questions already submitted**.

For avoidance of doubt, those questions are **not rhetorical**.

I take every question seriously.

Where the MPS can answer a question, I ask that it answer it.

Where the MPS:

- cannot answer;
- will not answer;
- considers that it should not answer;
- does not hold the relevant information;
- considers another force, department or organisation responsible;
- relies upon a statutory exemption;
- **or relies upon any other reason for not answering,**

I ask that this be stated expressly against the relevant question, together with the reason.

The attached clarification also explains why the questions concerning **anti-Black racism, Institutional Racism, equality safeguards, disproportionality, safeguarding and supervisory oversight** are personally significant to me.

I am a Black person.

At the time of the police attendance, I had not been provided with evidence demonstrating what safeguards against anti-Black racism or Institutional Racism had been applied to the decisions concerning me.

When I observed four White police officers at the door of my private home, in circumstances connected with what was referenced as a voluntary interview, I did not have evidence demonstrating that the operational decision-making had been subjected to effective anti-racism, equality, proportionality or supervisory safeguards.

I experienced fear for my physical safety and fear of death.

I make that statement to explain my state of mind and the importance of the safeguards questions.

It is **not** an allegation that any particular officer intended to kill me, and it is **not** a conclusion that racism caused the deployment.

The question I am asking is what the recorded evidence shows about the safeguards that existed, whether they applied, whether they were used, and whether their application can now be demonstrated from the records.

The clarification also makes clear that I seek **recorded information**, rather than asking the MPS to manufacture retrospective explanations or opinions.

Where contemporaneous records exist, please identify or disclose them subject to any lawful exemption.

Where no recorded information is held, please state that expressly.

Where information is held by another department, force or organisation, please identify that body.

I specifically ask the MPS to confirm:

1. receipt of this email and attached clarification document;
2. that the document has been placed on the official record for **01/FOI/26/055460/F**;
3. that the City of London Police deployment has now been identified with sufficient precision;
4. that no further general clarification is required;
5. that **01/FOI/26/055460/F remains active**;
6. that the request has not been treated as withdrawn;
7. that substantive searches will now proceed;
8. that **CAD 2248 (31/10/2025)** will be used as a principal search identifier;
9. that linked operational references will be followed;
10. that Questions 1–82 will be addressed individually;
11. that information held, information not held, exemptions, referrals and matters outside FOIA will be distinguished clearly;
12. and that the responsible department, force or body will be identified for any matter that Data Rights itself cannot determine.

I also ask that all relevant records continue to be preserved through the appropriate MPS or CoLP process, including where applicable:

CAD and command-and-control material, radio records, BWV and metadata, officer notes, tasking, briefing material, risk assessments, supervisory authorisations, cross-force communications, safeguarding information, equality/PSED material, complaint records and associated audit trails.

This clarification does **not** withdraw, replace or narrow any of the substantive questions previously submitted.

Its purpose is to answer the MPS clarification request and enable the matter to proceed.

For transparency and evidential integrity, I require substantive communications concerning this matter to remain in writing.

I intend to retain the response as a formal record and to report the outcome accurately to my family and community for future reference.

Please acknowledge receipt and confirm the next procedural step.

Yours faithfully,

Derrick Lynch
also known as **John Canoe**

Reparation Nation Limited / IC3CSI
23 Jersey House
2 Eastfield Road
Enfield
EN3 5UY

Email: thereparationnation@gmail.com

Attachment:
FORMAL CLARIFICATION AND CONSOLIDATED REQUEST FOR RECORDED INFORMATION — FOI 01/FOI/26/055460/F

[Quoted text hidden]

--

BLACK JUSTICE ENGINE

REPARATION NATION LIMITED
IC3CSI Black Crime Scene Investigators
23 Jersey House
2 Eastfield Road
Enfield
EN3 5UY

Website: <https://ic3csi.com/black-justice.html>

Company number: 14761041
Bank Account: 8367 4721
Sort Code: 51-61-34

Black authority mandate (ic3csi):

IC3CSI (IC3 Crime Scene Investigators) is a Black-led investigatory and documentation body focused on the safety, dignity and reparatory justice of IC3 Black and IC6 Mixed-Black people. We assert a Black authority mandate to scrutinise, question and challenge any past, present or planned activities and decisions that affect IC3 Black and IC6 Mixed-Black people, and to raise concerns and proposals through lawful written channels.

Communication policy (ic3csi):

ic3csi representatives will not voluntarily meet police officers, or any other entities suspected of anti-Black racism, face-to-face. All communications must be in writing (email or post) for transparency and evidential record-keeping. This policy reflects our role representing IC3 Black and IC6 Mixed-Black people and ongoing concerns about anti-Black racism and institutional racism. If officers or other parties approach us in person unprompted, that is a different matter.



BLACK AFRICAN REPARATION DAY
9th November (9/11)



FORMAL CLARIFICATION AND CONSOLIDATED REQUEST FOR RECORDED INFORMATION.pdf
1947K