

**Derrick Emmanuel Lynch also known as John Canoe
Defendant / Litigant in Person**

Wood Green Crown Court
The Court House
Woodall House
Lordship Lane
Wood Green
London
N22 5LF
United Kingdom

Case Reference: 01GD1160226

Hearing: 24 September 2026

Copied to: Mr George T. Nomen, Legal Observer

Dear Sir/Madam,

RE: DERRICK EMMANUEL LYNCH, ALSO KNOWN AS JOHN CANOE
CASE REFERENCE: 01GD1160226
WOOD GREEN CROWN COURT
HEARING: 24 SEPTEMBER 2026

**FORMAL WRITTEN RECORD AND REQUEST FOR CLARIFICATION IN ADVANCE OF
HEARING**

I write in advance of my attendance at Wood Green Crown Court tomorrow morning, 24 September 2026.

I am copying this communication to my legal observer, Mr George T. Nomen, because he attended the hearing on 16 September 2026, spoke in court in my absence, and telephoned me from the Court concerning my absence.

This email is deliberately detailed.

I am a litigant in person. I require clear written records so that I can properly manage my case, understand what has happened, distinguish confirmed facts from information reported to me by other people, prepare for hearings, and participate effectively and safely in these proceedings.

I intend to refer to this email when I am invited to speak tomorrow. I do not intend unnecessarily to read this entire communication aloud. I intend to summarise the principal matters and ask the Court to consider this detailed written communication.

I respectfully request that:

1. this email is placed on, or otherwise retained with, the court record/file for Case Reference 01GD1160226;
2. it is made available to the Judge dealing with the hearing on 24 September 2026 before the hearing, if reasonably practicable;
3. the Court addresses the questions and requests contained in this communication;
4. where a question can properly be answered Yes or No, a Yes or No answer is provided first, followed by any necessary explanation;
5. where the Court cannot answer a question, will not answer it, considers that it should not answer it, or considers that another person or organisation must answer it, that position is stated expressly so that there is a clear record;
6. any factual error or misunderstanding in this communication is identified and corrected expressly; and
7. following the hearing, I am provided with a clear written record of the orders, directions, decisions, requirements and future hearing dates arising from the hearing.

For the avoidance of doubt, I am making no allegations, no accusations, no assertions concerning anybody's motive, no assumptions and no conclusions concerning misconduct or discrimination. I am seeking information, clarification, safeguards, documentary evidence and an accurate record.

I am a Black person. I am specifically concerned to establish what safeguards exist against anti-Black racism and Institutional Racism in the decision-making processes relevant to my case. Those are safeguarding questions. They should not be interpreted as allegations that any Judge, court officer, prosecutor, police officer, barrister, solicitor or other identified person has acted because of anti-Black racism or Institutional Racism.

I also record that, following the hearing on 26 August 2026, I acted upon the Judge's recommendation that I seek legal representation. I was provided with a document headed "LIST OF LOCAL SOLICITORS" identifying six firms. I made written enquiries following that recommendation. As at 23 September 2026, I have received only one substantive response from the firms on that list. That response was from Needham Poulter Solicitors Ltd, which informed me that it did not have capacity to take on any new matters at that stage. I have therefore not obtained legal representation and remain an unrepresented defendant and litigant in person.

Where information concerning the hearing on 16 September 2026 has been reported to me by my legal observer, Mr George T. Nomen, I distinguish that information from matters within my own direct knowledge.

Where I do not know what happened, I ask the Court for clarification rather than presenting an inference as an established fact.

Where an official record exists or should exist, I request that official record.

Nothing in this communication should be interpreted as a refusal to attend, a refusal to participate, a refusal to comply with a lawful order or direction of the Court, or an attempt deliberately to obstruct or delay these proceedings.

I will attend Wood Green Crown Court on 24 September 2026 in accordance with the written notice that I have received.

1. MY ATTENDANCE TOMORROW

I confirm that I intend to attend Wood Green Crown Court on 24 September 2026 in accordance with the written notice that I have received.

Nothing in this email is a refusal to attend court.

Nothing in this email is a refusal to participate.

Nothing in this email is a refusal to comply with a lawful order or direction of the Court.

Nothing in this email should be interpreted as deliberate obstruction or deliberate delay.

I am attempting to participate effectively while protecting myself against further misunderstanding arising from incomplete, delayed, inconsistent or insufficiently documented communications.

2. MY ABSENCE FROM THE HEARING ON 16 SEPTEMBER 2026

I want the circumstances surrounding my absence on 16 September 2026 recorded accurately.

I did not deliberately fail or refuse to attend that hearing.

My understanding is that I did not receive the clear written notification and/or documentation that I reasonably expected and required in order to identify and manage that hearing correctly.

I have previously made clear the importance to me of written documentation.

As a litigant in person, I am personally responsible for maintaining and understanding my case records. Written notices allow me to identify dates, distinguish one hearing from another, understand what I am required to do, and retain evidence of what I have been told.

This is particularly important where there are multiple hearings, documents, dates and procedural requirements.

3. WHAT MY LEGAL OBSERVER REPORTED TO ME

My legal observer, Mr George T. Nomen, was present at Wood Green Crown Court on 16 September 2026.

Mr Nomen telephoned me from the Court and asked why I was not there.

I explained the position to him.

2|Page

Mr Nomen subsequently gave me information concerning what occurred in court.

I want to distinguish carefully between matters that I personally witnessed and matters that were subsequently reported to me.

I was not inside the courtroom.

I therefore do not presently claim personal knowledge of precisely what was said by the Judge, prosecution, barrister, court staff or Mr Nomen.

That is one of the reasons why obtaining the transcript and/or accurate court record is now so important.

4. POSSIBLE WARRANT, ARREST OR DETENTION

Mr Nomen's account gave me the serious impression that my absence could have resulted in a warrant being issued for my arrest and that, had he not been present and able to contact me, the consequences might have been extremely serious.

He also gave me the impression that I could have found myself having to challenge what had happened from a position of detention.

That information has caused me considerable concern.

However, because I was not present, I am not asserting that a warrant was actually ordered, that a warrant would definitely have been ordered, or that my arrest was inevitable.

I need the Court record to establish what actually happened.

I therefore respectfully request definitive answers to the following:

4.1 Was the possibility of issuing a warrant for my arrest raised on 16 September 2026 — Yes or No?

4.2 If Yes, who raised it?

4.3 Was an application for a warrant made — Yes or No?

4.4 If Yes, by whom?

4.5 Did the Judge consider issuing a warrant — Yes or No?

4.6 Was the possibility of my arrest discussed — Yes or No?

4.7 Was failure to surrender discussed — Yes or No?

4.8 Was an alleged breach of bail discussed — Yes or No?

4.9 Was any other alleged failure to comply with a court requirement discussed?

4.10 What decision did the Judge ultimately make concerning my absence?

3|Page

4.11 What reason was recorded for that decision?

4.12 What was recorded concerning the reason for my absence?

5. FORMAL STATUS OF MY ABSENCE

I require particular clarity concerning how the court record now characterises my absence on 16 September 2026.

Please confirm whether my absence is recorded as any of the following:

- (a) deliberate non-attendance;
- (b) failure to surrender;
- (c) breach of bail;
- (d) failure to comply with a court direction;
- (e) procedural non-compliance;
- (f) an absence resulting from a notification/administrative problem;
- (g) an excused absence;
- (h) no adverse finding whatsoever; or
- (i) some other classification.

If another classification is used, please identify it precisely.

I also respectfully ask the Court to confirm whether my absence on 16 September 2026 will be relied upon adversely against me at any future stage.

If Yes, please identify precisely how and on what basis.

If No, I respectfully request that this is made clear on the record.

6. WHAT THE COURT SAID ON 16 SEPTEMBER 2026

Mr Nomen subsequently informed me that the Court acknowledged an error.

Again, I was not present and therefore do not want to put words into the mouth of the Judge or Court.

I need the official record.

Please therefore confirm:

6.1 Did the Court acknowledge that an error had occurred — Yes or No?

6.2 If Yes, what precisely was the error?

6.3 Who or what was responsible for that error according to the Court?

4|Page

6.4 Was the error connected with notification of the hearing?

6.5 Was it connected with documents not being sent to me?

6.6 Was it connected with the Court's administrative processes?

6.7 Was any other explanation given?

6.8 What corrective action, if any, was ordered or proposed?

I do not want to rely upon recollection, interpretation or second-hand information where an official record should be available.

7. NOTIFICATION OF THE 16 SEPTEMBER 2026 HEARING

I require the Court's objective notification/service record.

Please provide or identify:

7.1 The notice that the Court says was issued to me concerning the hearing on 16 September 2026.

7.2 The date on which that notice was generated.

7.3 The date on which it was dispatched.

7.4 The method by which it was dispatched.

7.5 The postal address used, if sent by post.

7.6 The email address used, if sent electronically.

7.7 Whether the Court's system records successful dispatch.

7.8 Whether the system records any failure, rejection, return or non-delivery.

7.9 Whether any other hearing documents were supposed to accompany the notification.

7.10 What documents, if any, were actually sent.

7.11 Whether the Court accepts that I did not receive the necessary written notification before the hearing.

7.12 If the Court does not accept that, what evidence establishes delivery to me?

8. THE BARRISTER PRESENT ON 16 SEPTEMBER 2026

Mr Nomen informed me that a barrister was present on 16 September 2026 who had not been present at the previous hearing.

I do not assume from that fact that the barrister personally received a particular written notice.

5|Page

However, the presence of a barrister naturally raises a question about how the prosecution and/or barrister became aware of the hearing while I did not have the written notification I required.

For clarity, please confirm:

8.1 Who was the barrister appearing on 16 September 2026?

8.2 For whom was that barrister appearing?

8.3 When was the prosecution/CPS notified of the 16 September hearing?

8.4 By what method was the prosecution/CPS notified?

8.5 Was written notice supplied to the prosecution/CPS?

8.6 If Yes, when?

8.7 Was the barrister separately supplied with written notification?

8.8 When was the barrister instructed?

8.9 Is there a record capable of establishing these dates?

I ask these questions to establish objectively whether the respective participants in the proceedings were operating with the same information and notice.

9. ORDERS AND DECISIONS MADE IN MY ABSENCE

Please identify every decision made during the hearing on 16 September 2026.

This includes, but is not limited to:

- every order;
- every direction;
- every ruling;
- every listing decision;
- every timetable;
- every deadline;
- every requirement placed upon me;
- every requirement placed upon the prosecution;
- every decision concerning evidence;
- every decision concerning bail;
- every decision concerning future hearings; and

6|Page

- any other substantive or procedural decision.

Please provide copies of the resulting order, court record and/or directions.

If any direction or decision affects me and was made while I was absent, please identify it expressly.

10. TRANSCRIPT OF 26 AUGUST 2026

I ordered the transcript of the hearing on 26 August 2026.

I have still not received that transcript.

I have previously made clear that I should not be rushed into making important decisions when records necessary for me to understand the proceedings remain outstanding.

Please confirm:

10.1 The present status of the transcript.

10.2 Whether the transcript has been produced by the transcription provider.

10.3 Whether it has been sent to the Court.

10.4 Whether judicial approval is required.

10.5 If judicial approval is required, whether it has been submitted for approval.

10.6 If submitted, when.

10.7 Whether approval has been completed.

10.8 If not completed, what remains outstanding.

10.9 Whether the Court can give any present indication of when I should expect to receive it.

10.10 Whether anything can be done to prevent substantive decisions overtaking my ability to obtain and consider the official record of the earlier hearing.

11. TRANSCRIPT OF 16 SEPTEMBER 2026

The transcript of 16 September is now particularly important because I was absent.

It is potentially the best available means of establishing what actually occurred instead of requiring me to rely upon second-hand accounts.

Please confirm:

11.1 Whether the hearing on 16 September 2026 was recorded.

11.2 Whether a transcript has been requested.

7|Page

11.3 If so, the present status of that request.

11.4 Whether judicial approval will be required.

11.5 What steps remain before the transcript can be supplied.

11.6 Whether the Court can give any indication of when it should become available.

12. AUDIO RECORDINGS

My experiences to date have caused me to require the most reliable record reasonably available.

I therefore ask separately about the original audio recordings.

Please confirm:

12.1 Does an audio recording of the hearing on 26 August 2026 exist — Yes or No?

12.2 Does an audio recording of the hearing on 16 September 2026 exist — Yes or No?

12.3 Am I entitled to obtain a copy of either recording?

12.4 If I cannot obtain a copy, is there a procedure by which I may listen to either recording?

12.5 If Yes, what is that procedure?

12.6 If access requires an application, please identify the application procedure.

12.7 If permission of the Judge is required, please state that expressly.

12.8 If access cannot be provided, please identify the applicable rule, procedure or other basis.

13. JUDICIAL APPROVAL OF TRANSCRIPTS

I also require clarity about what happens when a transcript is submitted for judicial approval.

Please confirm:

13.1 Whether either transcript requires judicial approval.

13.2 What judicial approval entails.

13.3 Whether amendments or corrections can be made during that process.

13.4 Whether there is any record showing corrections or amendments made between the transcription provider's version and the finally approved transcript.

13.5 Whether I can obtain information identifying any such corrections or amendments.

8|Page

I am not suggesting that any improper editing has occurred.

I am asking how the process operates so that I understand the evidential status of the document I eventually receive.

14. FUTURE HEARINGS

I require a definitive written record of every presently known future hearing in this case.

For each hearing, please state:

(a) the date;

(b) the time;

- (c) the court;
- (d) the courtroom, if presently allocated;
- (e) the formal description/type of hearing;
- (f) the purpose of the hearing;
- (g) the issues expected to be considered or determined;
- (h) whether my personal attendance is mandatory;
- (i) whether remote attendance is possible, if relevant;
- (j) anything I am required to do before that hearing;
- (k) any applicable deadline; and
- (l) the consequences of non-attendance.

I specifically need to avoid another situation in which different dates, descriptions or documents cause uncertainty.

15. WRITTEN COMMUNICATION REQUIREMENT

I respectfully ask the Court to record that I am a litigant in person and require important procedural information in writing.

I need written records in order to manage the case properly.

Please therefore confirm the following:

15.1 What postal address does the Court presently hold for me?

15.2 What email address does the Court presently hold for me?

9|Page

15.3 Can future hearing notices be supplied electronically as well as, or where appropriate instead of, post?

15.4 Can orders and directions be supplied electronically?

15.5 Can the Court ensure that I receive a written record of future hearing dates and directions?

15.6 If there is some information that the Court will provide only by post, please identify what information and why.

I am trying to establish a reliable system that protects both the Court and me against future disputes or misunderstandings about what was communicated.

16. POLICE EVIDENCE PORTAL

There is a separate but connected difficulty concerning my communications and evidence submissions to the Police.

I do not presently regard the system as sufficiently safe for my purposes because I do not have a reliable item-by-item receipt mechanism demonstrating precisely what has been received.

In my dealings with banks and government bodies, I have encountered systems that generate receipts or other records confirming submissions.

I need equivalent evidential certainty when material concerns criminal proceedings.

I therefore request that the appropriate police/prosecution body provide a complete audit trail of my submissions.

For every item that I have uploaded or submitted, I require:

- (a) filename;
- (b) item/document identifier;
- (c) date of upload;
- (d) time of upload, where recorded;
- (e) confirmation that the upload completed successfully;
- (f) confirmation that the item was received;
- (g) confirmation that it remains stored;
- (h) confirmation of who can access it;
- (i) confirmation whether it has been reviewed;
- (j) confirmation whether it has been retained as potentially relevant material; and
- (k) confirmation that nothing submitted by me was lost when access to the portal ended.

17. FUTURE POLICE PORTAL ACCESS

I also require clarification of future access.

Please confirm:

17.1 Whether the evidence portal will be reopened.

17.2 When it will be reopened.

17.3 For how long it will remain available.

17.4 Whether I will receive confirmation for every item successfully uploaded.

17.5 Whether those confirmations will identify the individual documents rather than merely confirming that I accessed the portal.

17.6 What procedure exists if I dispute whether an item was successfully received.

17.7 What alternative secure submission method exists if the portal cannot provide adequate receipts.

18. COURT-PROVIDED LIST OF LOCAL SOLICITORS AND MY ATTEMPTS TO OBTAIN REPRESENTATION

At the hearing on 26 August 2026, the Judge recommended that I obtain legal representation and I was provided with a document headed “LIST OF LOCAL SOLICITORS.”

The list supplied to me identifies the following six firms:

- (a) Galbraith Branley, 736 High Road, London N12 9QD, United Kingdom;
- (b) Stuart Miller Solicitors, 247 High Road, Wood Green, London N22 4HF, United Kingdom;
- (c) Joseph Hill, 220–224 High Road, Tottenham, London N15 4AJ, United Kingdom;
- (d) Needham Poulter Solicitors Ltd, 599 High Road, Tottenham, London N17 6EW, United Kingdom;
- (e) Hodge Jones & Allen, 180 North Gower Street, London NW1 2NB, United Kingdom; and
- (f) JD Spicer Zeb Solicitors, 140 Kilburn High Road, London NW6 4JD, United Kingdom.

I acted upon the Judge’s recommendation and made written enquiries concerning legal representation and the safeguards against anti-Black racism and Institutional Racism operating within the relevant decision-making processes.

I expressly stated in my written enquiry that I intended to report back to Wood Green Crown Court concerning the steps I had taken following the Judge’s recommendation.

As at 23 September 2026, I have received only one substantive reply from the firms on the Court-provided list.

That reply was from Needham Poulter Solicitors Ltd on 27 August 2026. The firm stated that it did not have capacity to take on any new matters at that stage and therefore could not assist me.

I have not received a positive response resulting in legal representation.

I therefore remain an unrepresented defendant and litigant in person despite acting upon the Judge’s recommendation.

For absolute clarity, I respectfully ask:

18.1 Does the Court accept that I acted upon the Judge's recommendation to seek legal representation — Yes or No?

18.2 Does the Court accept that, as at 23 September 2026, those efforts have not resulted in me obtaining legal representation — Yes or No?

18.3 Does the Court accept that the only substantive response I have received from the Court-provided list has been negative in the sense that the responding firm stated that it did not have capacity to take on my matter — Yes or No?

18.4 Does the Court therefore record that I presently remain an unrepresented defendant/litigant in person — Yes or No?

18.5 Is the Court expecting me to make any further approaches to solicitors before any particular hearing — Yes or No?

18.6 If Yes, what precisely am I expected to do and by what date?

18.7 If the Court considers that another route to obtaining legal representation or legal assistance is presently available to me, please identify that route clearly in writing.

I do not want the record to create the impression that I have simply chosen not to seek representation when I have acted upon the Judge's recommendation and have not obtained representation.

19. "NOTES FOR DEFENDANTS REPRESENTING THEMSELVES IN THE CROWN COURT" — CLARIFICATION REQUIRED

I was also provided with a document headed "NOTES FOR DEFENDANTS REPRESENTING THEMSELVES IN THE CROWN COURT."

I have read that document. Certain statements in it require clarification in light of my actual position.

The document begins by stating: "You have decided to represent yourself at the trial."

I require the Court to clarify whether it is recording that I have positively chosen self-representation, as distinct from the factual position that I remain unrepresented because I have not obtained representation despite acting upon the Judge's recommendation.

Please confirm:

19.1 Does the Court presently record that I have made a positive and final decision to represent myself at trial — Yes or No?

19.2 If Yes, when does the Court say that decision was made, and on what record is that conclusion based?

19.3 Does the Court accept that attempting to obtain representation from the Court-provided list is inconsistent with any assumption that I have simply refused to seek representation — Yes or No?

The document also states that a defendant representing himself “should by now have been provided with a file in which you will have all of the papers in this case.”

Please therefore confirm:

19.4 Does the Court consider that I have now received the complete case papers referred to in that document — Yes or No?

19.5 If Yes, please identify what constitutes the complete case papers and when the Court considers they were supplied to me.

19.6 If No, please identify what remains outstanding and when it is expected to be supplied.

The document further states that “When you have received the full case papers you must provide what is called a Defence Statement to the Court and to the prosecution.”

Please therefore confirm:

19.7 Does the Court consider that the condition described in that document — receipt of the full case papers — has been satisfied in my case — Yes or No?

19.8 What is the current deadline, if any, for my Defence Statement?

19.9 From what event or date is that deadline calculated?

19.10 What is the current deadline, if any, for identifying prosecution witnesses whom I require to attend for questioning?

19.11 What is the current deadline, if any, for identifying defence witnesses?

19.12 Are any of those deadlines affected by outstanding disclosure, the outstanding transcripts, the police evidence-portal issues, or the fact that I remain unrepresented?

The document also refers to consequences of non-attendance and states that if a defendant does not attend Court on the day of trial, the prosecution may apply for the trial to take place in the defendant’s absence.

Because there has already been confusion concerning hearing dates and my absence on 16 September 2026, I require absolute clarity about whether each future listed date

is a trial, case-management hearing, plea hearing, directions hearing, or another type of hearing.

Finally, the document states in its section on closing speeches that a defendant may not suggest to the jury that he has been disadvantaged by being unrepresented and that, if he does, the Judge may explain to the jury the “true position” as to how he came to be unrepresented and the opportunities he was given to be represented.

That makes it particularly important that the record now accurately identifies the steps I have actually taken.

Please therefore confirm:

19.13 Will the Court record that I followed the Judge’s recommendation and attempted to obtain representation — Yes or No?

19.14 Will the Court record that, as at 23 September 2026, those attempts have not produced legal representation — Yes or No?

19.15 If the Court presently records any different account of how I came to remain unrepresented, please identify that account now so that any disagreement can be addressed before trial.

20. EFFECTIVE PARTICIPATION

These matters are not abstract or rhetorical questions.

I need the records in order to understand the proceedings and participate effectively.

I am presently dealing with:

- an important hearing that I did not attend because of the notification/documentation circumstances described above;
- uncertainty concerning exactly what was said in my absence;
- information reported to me suggesting that the consequences of my absence could potentially have been extremely serious;
- outstanding transcripts;
- questions concerning access to the original recordings;
- the need for certainty about future hearings;
- evidence-submission arrangements that do not presently provide me with the documentary assurance that I require; and
- the responsibility of managing all of this myself as a litigant in person.

I therefore respectfully ask the Court to distinguish between deliberate refusal to participate and a request for sufficient information to enable meaningful and safe participation.

I am not refusing to participate.

I am asking for the information and records necessary for effective participation.

21. SUBSTANTIVE PROCEDURAL DECISIONS WHILE MATERIAL REMAINS OUTSTANDING

Where a substantive procedural decision can reasonably be deferred without prejudicing the proper administration of justice, I respectfully ask the Court to consider whether I should be required to make that decision before I have received and had a reasonable opportunity to consider the outstanding records.

I am particularly concerned about being required to make decisions whose significance depends upon understanding what occurred at previous hearings when the transcripts of those hearings remain unavailable to me.

If the Court determines that a particular decision cannot be deferred, I respectfully ask the Court to explain:

- (a) what decision I am required to make;
- (b) why it must be made immediately;
- (c) the legal/procedural basis;
- (d) what information I am entitled to have before making it;
- (e) the consequences of each available course; and
- (f) whether reasonable time can be provided for me to consider the matter.

22. I AM A BLACK PERSON — SAFEGUARDING CONCERN

I also place the following on record.

I am a Black person.

My experiences in these proceedings, particularly where there has been uncertainty carrying a possible risk of arrest or detention, mean that I consider it necessary to ask expressly about safeguards.

I am not alleging that the Judge, Court, court staff, prosecution, Police, barrister or any identified person has acted because of anti-Black racism.

I am making no allegation, no accusation, no assertion concerning motive, no assumption and no conclusion.

I am asking a safeguarding question.

Please identify the specific safeguards against anti-Black racism and Institutional Racism in the decision-making processes relevant to my case.

If such safeguards exist, please identify:

- (a) what they are;
- (b) where they are recorded;
- (c) whether they apply to judicial decision-making;
- (d) whether they apply to administrative decision-making;
- (e) whether they apply to decisions concerning notification and listing;
- (f) whether they apply to decisions concerning warrants, arrest or detention;
- (g) whether they apply to prosecution decision-making;
- (h) whether they apply to police evidence-handling processes;
- (i) who is responsible for applying them;
- (j) how compliance is recorded;
- (k) what mechanism exists for raising a concern;
- (l) what mechanism exists for reviewing a decision where such a concern is raised; and
- (m) what remedy or corrective procedure exists if a safeguard fails.

If there are no specific safeguards against anti-Black racism and Institutional Racism, please state that clearly for the record.

General equality, diversity and inclusion material does not, by itself, answer my specific question concerning safeguards against anti-Black racism and Institutional Racism in decision-making processes.

23. SAFETY AND LOSS OF CONFIDENCE

The purpose of raising these matters is not rhetorical.

The possibility that a failure of communication could expose a person to arrest or detention is extremely serious.

I need to know what actually happened rather than relying upon impressions or second-hand accounts.

My confidence in relying upon informal or incomplete communications has consequently been substantially reduced.

That is why I am asking for documentary records.

I want to be able to establish:

what was sent;

when it was sent;

where it was sent;

what was received;

what happened in court;

what decisions were made;

what I am required to do next;

when I am required to attend;

what evidence has been received; and

what safeguards exist.

That level of clarity protects everybody involved.

24. NO WAIVER OF MY POSITION

My attendance tomorrow should not be interpreted as acceptance that previous notification was adequate.

It should not be interpreted as abandoning my request for the transcripts.

It should not be interpreted as abandoning my request concerning the recordings.

It should not be interpreted as accepting the safety or adequacy of the present police evidence-submission arrangements.

It should not be interpreted as withdrawing any safeguarding concern.

It should not be interpreted as waiving any procedural objection or any right to make a complaint after I have obtained and considered the relevant evidence.

I presently reserve my position concerning any formal complaint because I want the documentary evidence before reaching conclusions.

25. REQUEST FOR CORRECTION IF I HAVE MISUNDERSTOOD ANYTHING

I expressly invite the Court to correct me if anything in this email is factually incorrect.

If I have misunderstood:

- the purpose of a hearing;

- a hearing date;
- what happened on 16 September;
- the status of a transcript;
- the effect of a direction;
- the operation of the evidence portal;
- the process for obtaining recordings; or
- any other procedural matter,

please identify the misunderstanding specifically and provide the correct information.

I would prefer a written correction now rather than allowing a misunderstanding to continue.

26. MATTERS I ASK THE COURT TO ADDRESS ON 24 SEPTEMBER 2026

For clarity, I respectfully ask that tomorrow's hearing addresses, so far as the Court considers appropriate:

- 1. The reason I did not receive the written notification/documentation required for the 16 September hearing.**
- 2. The Court's record of how and when notice was sent to me.**
- 3. What occurred in court concerning my absence.**
- 4. Whether a warrant, arrest, failure to surrender, breach of bail or other adverse consequence was raised or considered.**
- 5. The formal status now attached to my absence.**
- 6. Whether my absence will be treated adversely.**
- 7. What Mr George T. Nomen said to the Court and what the Court said in response, insofar as the record permits this to be established.**
- 8. Every order or direction made in my absence.**
- 9. The notification arrangements concerning the prosecution/barrister.**
- 10. The present status of the 26 August transcript.**
- 11. The present status of the 16 September transcript.**
- 12. The existence of the audio recordings and the procedure for requesting access.**
- 13. The judicial approval process applicable to the transcripts.**
- 14. Every presently known future hearing date and the purpose of each hearing.**

15. Whether my attendance is required at each future hearing.

16. The arrangements for providing future notices, orders and directions to me in writing.

17. The police evidence portal and the absence of satisfactory document-level receipts.

20. How a reliable audit trail of my evidence submissions will be provided.

21. What substantive decisions, if any, I am expected to make before receiving the outstanding transcripts.

22. The safeguards against anti-Black racism and Institutional Racism in the relevant decision-making processes.

27. REQUEST FOR WRITTEN OUTCOME

Following tomorrow's hearing, I respectfully request written confirmation of the resulting orders and directions.

In particular, I ask that I am not left to reconstruct important directions from memory after the hearing.

Where the Court determines any of the matters raised above, I respectfully request that the resulting position is recorded sufficiently clearly for me to understand what has been decided and what I am required to do.

28. FINAL POSITION

I am sending this email today, 23 September 2026, before attending court tomorrow morning, precisely so that my position is documented before the hearing.

I am attempting to remove ambiguity rather than create it.

I am attempting to participate rather than avoid participation.

I am attempting to obtain reliable evidence rather than rely upon assumptions.

I am attempting to understand the Court's requirements rather than risk misunderstanding them.

I am attempting to ensure that evidence supplied to the Police can be demonstrated to have been received.

I am attempting to safeguard myself while participating in criminal proceedings as an unrepresented Black defendant.

I repeat that I make no allegations, no accusations, no assertions concerning motive, no assumptions and no conclusions concerning the cause of the matters described above.

Where something has been reported to me by my legal observer, I have attempted to identify it as such.

Where I do not know what happened, I have asked a question rather than presenting an inference as fact.

Where an official record should exist, I am asking for that record.

I will attend Wood Green Crown Court tomorrow morning and will refer the Court to this communication when I am invited to speak.

Please acknowledge receipt of this email.


Please confirm that it has been associated with case reference 01GD1160226 and, if practicable, placed before the Judge dealing with the hearing on 24 September 2026.

Please also ensure that any response, order, direction or clarification is supplied to me in writing.

Yours faithfully,









A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Derrick Lynch', with a large circular flourish at the start and a long diagonal stroke extending to the right.

Derrick Emmanuel Lynch

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads 'John Canoe' in a cursive, slanted script.

also known as John Canoe

Litigant in Person / Defendant Case Reference: 01GD1160226 Wood Green Crown Court

REPARATIONS POLICE CODES FOR REPARATIONS POLICE CODES FOR REPARATIONS											
	IC1		W1 UK White W2 White European W9 Other White	QUESTION: White skin, Caucasoid, highest life value, pure blood, Aryan, Japhetic, Celtic, Nordic, Viking, Norse, Germanic, Slavic, Baltic, straight red/ginger or blond/blonde hair, blue, grey, green or pale eyes, not visibly contaminated with non-white blood but freckles are acceptable? UK RACE TAX 0-6%?	FAMILY: Absolute Nuclear, Egalitarian Nuclear –Low intergenerational cohabitation, early home-leaving, privacy-valued.						
	IC2		W9 Other White Dark European	QUESTION: Darkened skin, Sallow, Swarthy, Tawny, Olive skin, Melanochroid, Iberian Peninsula, Mediterranean, Balkan, straight dark hair (no curls), slightly lower life value, Dark eyes, visibly contaminated with non-white blood? UK RACE TAX 6-13%?	FAMILY: Endogamous Communitarian, Asymmetric –Patriarchal extended families, high respect for elders, strong honour culture.						
	IC3		B1 Caribbean B2 African B9 Other Black	QUESTION: Black Skin Negroid, Hamitic, Moor, Bantu, Cushite, Kaffir, Kinky/Curly Dark hair, Dark eyes? UK RACE TAX 33-66%?	FAMILY: Endogamous Communitarian, Asymmetric –Arranged marriages, multigenerational homes, patriarchal authority, family honour central.						
	IC4		A1 Indian A2 Pakistani A3 Bangladeshi A9 Other Asian	QUESTION: Brown/Dark Skin Asianoid, South Asian, Hindutva, Indo-Aryan, Dravidian, Straight hair, Dark eyes? UK RACE TAX 22-33%?	FAMILY: Endogamous Communitarian, Asymmetric –Arranged marriages, multigenerational homes, patriarchal authority, family honour central.						
	IC5		O1 Chinese O9 Any Other Oriental	QUESTION: Yellow Skin Mongoloid, Epicanthic fold, Slit eyes, Neotenic, Sinodonty, Straight hair that never curls, Dark eyes? UK RACE TAX 22-33%?	FAMILY: Absolute Nuclear, Filial-Flexible –Confucian values, filial piety, elder care in private home, education-centred.						
	IC6		M1 White & Black Caribbean M2 White & Black African M3 White & Asian M9 Any Other Mixed	QUESTION: Semitic, Jewish, Christian, Muslim, Arab, Israeli, North American, South American, Mixed Lineage, Mixed Race, Mongrel, North African, Stateless, visibly contaminated with Black blood? UK RACE TAX 0-66%?	FAMILY: Flexible System, Chosen Support –Mixed identity negotiation, hybrid parenting, often fluid structures, blended cultures.						
	IC7		NS Not Stated	QUESTION: Unseen, Unrecognised, Unhuman, Unknown, Alien, Computer, Robot, Artificial Intelligence, Humanoid? TAX FREE?	FAMILY: Anomic, Unknown or Chosen –Fragmented or concealed systems; may reflect institutional upbringing or systemic exclusion.						
UK Government Race and Ethnicity Codes. Are you a Racist?											
	IC1		W1 UK White W2 White European W9 Other White		IC3		B1 Caribbean B2 African B9 Other Black		IC6		M1 White & Black Caribbean M2 White & Black African M3 White & Asian M9 Any Other Mixed
1 White Race	WBRI	White British	3 Black Race	BAFR	Black - African	6 Mixed Race	MABL	Asian and Black			
1 White Race	WCOR	White British - Cornish	3 Black Race	BANN	Black - Angolan	6 Mixed Race	MACH	Asian and Chinese			
1 White Race	WENG	White British - English	3 Black Race	BAOF	Other Black African	6 Mixed Race	MAOE	Asian and Any Other Ethnic Group			
1 White Race	WSCO	White British - Scottish	3 Black Race	BCON	Black - Congolese	6 Mixed Race	MBCH	Black and Chinese			
1 White Race	WWEL	White British - Welsh	3 Black Race	BCRB	Black Caribbean	6 Mixed Race	MBOE	Black and Any Other Ethnic Group			
1 White Race	WOWB	White British Other	3 Black Race	BEUR	Black European	6 Mixed Race	MCOE	Chinese and Any Other Ethnic Group			
1 White Race	WIRI	White - Irish	3 Black Race	BGHA	Black - Ghanalan	6 Mixed Race	MOTH	Any Other Mixed Background			
1 White Race	WIRT	White - Traveller of Irish Heritage	3 Black Race	BNAM	Black North American	6 Mixed Race	MOTM	Other Mixed Background			
1 White Race	WEEU	White Eastern European	3 Black Race	BNGN	Black - Nigerian	6 Mixed Race	MWAI	White and Indian			
1 White Race	WEUR	White European	3 Black Race	BOTB	Other Black	6 Mixed Race	MWAO	White and Any Other Asian Background			
1 White Race	WOTW	White Other	3 Black Race	BOTH	Any Other Black Background	6 Mixed Race	MWAP	White and Pakistani			
1 White Race	WWEU	White Western European	3 Black Race	BSLN	Black - Sierra Leonean	6 Mixed Race	MWAS	White and Asian			
			3 Black Race	BSOM	Black - Somali	6 Mixed Race	MWBA	White and Black African			
			3 Black Race	BSUD	Black - Sudanese	6 Mixed Race	MWBC	White and Black Caribbean			
						6 Mixed Race	MWCH	White and Chinese			
						6 Mixed Race	MWOC	White and Any Other Ethnic Group			
						6 Other Race	OAFG	Afghan			
						6 Other Race	OARA	Arab Other			
						6 Other Race	OEGY	Egyptian			
						6 Other Race	OFIL	Filipino			
						6 Other Race	OIRN	Iranian			
						6 Other Race	OIRQ	Iraqi			
						6 Other Race	OJPN	Japanese			
						6 Other Race	OKOR	Korean			
						6 Other Race	OKRD	Kurdish			
						6 Other Race	OLAM	Latin/ South/ Central American			
						6 Other Race	OLEB	Lebanese			
						6 Other Race	OLIB	Libyan			
						6 Other Race	OMAL	Malay			
						6 Other Race	OMRC	Moroccan			
						6 Other Race	OOEG	Other Ethnic Group			
						6 Other Race	OOth	Any Other Ethnic Group			
						6 Other Race	OPOL	Polynesian			
						6 Other Race	OTHA	Thai			
						6 Other Race	OVIE	Vietnamese			
						6 Other Race	OYEM	Yemeni			
	IC2		W9 Other White (Dark European)		IC4		A1 Indian A2 Pakistani A3 Bangladeshi A9 Other Asian		IC7		NS Not Stated
2 White Race	WALB	Albanian	4 Asian Race	AAFR	African Asian	4 Asian Race	AAFR	African Asian			
2 White Race	WOTH	Any Other White Background	4 Asian Race	ABAN	Bangladeshi	4 Asian Race	ABAN	Bangladeshi			
2 White Race	WBOS	Bosnian- Herzegovinian	4 Asian Race	AIND	Indian	4 Asian Race	AIND	Indian			
2 White Race	WCRO	Croatian	4 Asian Race	AKAO	Kashmiri Other	4 Asian Race	AKAO	Kashmiri Other			
2 White Race	WGRK	Greek	4 Asian Race	AKPA	Kashmiri Pakistani	4 Asian Race	AKPA	Kashmiri Pakistani			
2 White Race	WGRC	Greek Cypriot	4 Asian Race	AMPK	Mirpuri Pakistani	4 Asian Race	AMPK	Mirpuri Pakistani			
2 White Race	WGRC	Greek/ Greek Cypriot	4 Asian Race	ANEP	Nepali	4 Asian Race	ANEP	Nepali			
2 White Race	WROM	Gypsy / Roma	4 Asian Race	AOPK	Other Pakistani	4 Asian Race	AOPK	Other Pakistani			
2 White Race	WITA	Italian	4 Asian Race	AOTA	Other Asian	4 Asian Race	AOTA	Other Asian			
2 White Race	WKOS	Kosovan	4 Asian Race	AOTH	Any Other Asian Background	4 Asian Race	AOTH	Any Other Asian Background			
2 White Race	WPOR	Portuguese	4 Asian Race	APKN	Pakistani	4 Asian Race	APKN	Pakistani			
2 White Race	WSER	Serbian	4 Asian Race	ASLT	Sri Lankan Tamil	4 Asian Race	ASLT	Sri Lankan Tamil			
2 White Race	WTUK	Turkish	4 Asian Race	ASNL	Sri Lankan Sinhalese	4 Asian Race	ASNL	Sri Lankan Sinhalese			
2 White Race	WTUC	Turkish Cypriot	4 Asian Race	ASRO	Sri Lankan Other	4 Asian Race	ASRO	Sri Lankan Other			
2 White Race	WTUR	Turkish/ Turkish Cypriot									
	IC5		O1 Chinese O9 Any Other Oriental		IC7		7 Not Known Yet 7 Rebel Race	NOBT REFU	Information Not Yet Obtained	Refused	
5 Chinese Race	CHCK	Hong Kong Chinese									
5 Chinese Race	CHNE	Chinese									
5 Chinese Race	CMAL	Malaysian Chinese									
5 Chinese Race	COCH	Other Chinese									
5 Chinese Race	CSNG	Singaporean Chinese									
5 Chinese Race	CTWN	Taiwanese									