

BJRI PUBLICATION STANDARDS EDITORIAL REVIEW EVIDENTIAL INTEGRITY AND RESPONSIBLE COMMUNICATION FRAMEWORK

BLACK JUSTICE RESEARCH INSTITUTE

Institutional Publication Standards and Editorial Governance Framework

1. PURPOSE

The purpose of this framework is to establish the publication standards, editorial review, evidential integrity, safeguarding, and responsible communication principles governing publication activity associated with the Black Justice Research Institute (“BJRI”).

The Institute recognises that institutional credibility depends substantially upon careful publication practices, evidential discipline, lawful conduct, and analytical restraint.

2. CORE PUBLICATION PRINCIPLES

The Institute supports:

- evidential discipline,
- methodological neutrality,
- procedural fairness,
- analytical restraint,
- safeguarding awareness,
- lawful conduct,
- and responsible communication standards.

The Institute rejects:

- inflammatory rhetoric,
- manipulative publication practices,
- ideological extremism,
- harassment through publication activity,
- distortion of evidence,
- and speculative allegation presented as established fact.

3. EVIDENTIAL DISTINCTIONS

The Institute distinguishes between:

- verified fact,
- allegation,
- disputed claim,
- reported experience,
- perception,
- hypothesis,
- and speculation.

Publication activity should therefore avoid presenting uncertainty as established certainty where appropriate evidential foundation does not exist.

4. EDITORIAL REVIEW PRINCIPLES

Editorial review processes should support:

- careful evidence assessment,
- procedural fairness,
- safeguarding awareness,
- lawful conduct,
- analytical restraint,
- and proportionality.

The Institute recognises that responsible editorial review is necessary to support institutional legitimacy and public confidence.

5. SAFEGUARDING RESPONSIBILITIES

Publication activity associated with the Institute should avoid:

- harassment,
- intimidation,
- inflammatory escalation,
- collective hostility,
- coercive conduct,
- and unsafe publication behaviour.

The Institute supports careful communication standards intended to reduce unnecessary escalation risks and support responsible public discussion.

6. DIGITAL PUBLICATION STANDARDS

Digital publication activity should support:

- responsible information governance,
- safeguarding awareness,
- evidential clarity,
- proportionality,
- and lawful conduct.

The Institute recognises cyber-security, reputational safeguarding, and digital escalation risks as relevant institutional considerations.

7. RESEARCH PUBLICATION RESPONSIBILITIES

Research publication activity should support:

- methodological neutrality,
- documentation standards,
- careful citation where appropriate,
- uncertainty recognition,
- and evidence-based analysis.

The Institute rejects predetermined ideological framing and manipulative evidential presentation practices.

8. PUBLIC TRUST AND INSTITUTIONAL CREDIBILITY

The Institute recognises that long-term institutional credibility depends substantially upon:

- evidential integrity,
- safeguarding seriousness,
- transparency where appropriate,
- lawful conduct,
- analytical restraint,
- and responsible institutional behaviour.

9. CONCLUSION

The Institute's publication standards framework is grounded in evidential discipline, methodological neutrality, safeguarding awareness, procedural fairness, lawful conduct, and responsible communication.

The Institute supports careful evidence-based publication activity rather than inflammatory, ideologically driven, or manipulative communication practices.