

ARDC: Reparations Demands, Mission and the Five-Pillars Framework

Substantial source-linked research dossier | Prepared by Reparation Nation Limited (RNL) | 20 October 2026

VESSEL: Afrikan Reparations Day Council (RN-V-000015) | VOYAGE: 1st Mosiah Reparations March (RN-VY-000015)

DOCUMENT STATUS: Independent RNL documentary analysis of ARDC public materials. Not authored, approved or endorsed by ARDC. It records demands and frameworks, not verified financial or non-financial reparatory delivery.

SOURCE NOTICE: ARDC's mission webpage was readable and checked on 20 October 2026. The demands page contains image-based material and the linked graphic could not be inspected directly in the preparation environment. The five forms of reparation discussed below are an analytical framework, NOT a verified transcription of ARDC's image or a claim that ARDC adopted any particular legal definition. The primary image and demands page are linked in the source register.

1. Purpose and boundaries

This cargo records the stated mission of the Afrikan Reparations Day Council and examines practical categories through which reparatory demands can be understood. It is designed for Reparation Nation Shipping, where a vessel represents the organisation and a voyage represents a programme or undertaking. The document is an external research record assembled by RNL; it does not place words in the mouth of ARDC or establish an institutional partnership.

The document preserves a simple evidential separation. A demand describes a desired form of redress. A proposal identifies a possible means of pursuing it. An announcement reports a decision or intention. An agreement records a particular commitment. Delivery requires evidence that a remedy has actually been implemented and, where appropriate, received. None of these states should be collapsed into another.

2. Verified primary-source baseline

ARDC's official mission page describes a grassroots-led body engaged in reparatory justice in connection with descendants of African people affected by the transatlantic slave trade, colonialism and continuing legacies. It identifies public marches and actions, public historical education, and organising at local, national and global levels as features of its mission. These are statements of organisational aims and activities; they do not by themselves evidence how often each has occurred.

The same page explains that the organisation's demands extend beyond financial compensation, identifying truth, repair and transformation and naming cultural healing, land rights, education and institutional reform. The page also expresses solidarity with reparations and self-determination movements internationally. This provides a direct source basis for classifying its publicly described objectives as both financial and non-financial in scope. Source: <https://www.ardcouncil.org/mission.html>

3. The official demands webpage and the limits of this record

ARDC maintains a separate demands webpage at <https://www.ardcouncil.org/demands.html>. At the time of preparation the readable page structure confirmed that it displays demand-related image material. Its substantive wording was not available as accessible webpage text in the retrieval used for this dossier. The original material remains the authority for its precise wording.

An image file previously identified as ARDC demands information is linked at <https://www.ardcouncil.org/img/A2.jpeg>. The original URL is preserved so readers can inspect it directly. This dossier does not invent an image transcription. Any later record purporting to quote, enumerate or attribute ARDC's precise pillars should be checked against that image or an authenticated alternative before public publication.

4. Why a multiple-remedy framework matters

The reparatory justice discussion is broader than a single payment or a single event. A financial programme may be designed to provide compensation, investment, institutional development or other forms of support. Non-financial activity may concern return of property, access to education, acknowledgement of wrongdoing, historical correction, policy change or measures intended to prevent renewed harms. Each form of remedy has different requirements for authority, beneficiaries, implementation and verification.

This makes the Shipping distinction between cargo type and delivery status especially important. An educational event can be meaningful cultural or knowledge-related activity without establishing that compensation has been paid. A pledge to review policy is an announced intention, not proof that a new policy is operational. The same logic should apply evenly to public campaigning, state programmes, church programmes and RNL's own documentary initiatives.

5. Five categories used for analytical comparison

Five frequently used categories in international reparation discussions are restitution, compensation, rehabilitation, satisfaction and guarantees of non-repetition. These categories are familiar from the United Nations Basic Principles and Guidelines on the Right to a Remedy and Reparation, UN General Assembly Resolution 60/147. They are set out here as an external comparison framework; it is not claimed that ARDC's image uses identical wording, definitions, ordering or emphasis.

The purpose of comparison is to organise evidence, not to erase historical, political or community-specific definitions of repair. A movement may demand remedies wider than, or different from, the forms used in a legal framework. Readers should assess the actual terms used by ARDC in its published demand materials before assigning formal labels to the Council's position. UN source: <https://www.ohchr.org/en/instruments-mechanisms/instruments/basic-principles-and-guidelines-right-remedy-and-reparation>

6. Restitution: returning what can be returned

Restitution concerns restoration, where possible, of a situation, right, property or other condition lost through a relevant wrong. In African and diaspora reparations discussions, questions may include land, cultural objects, archives, institutions, access rights and restoration of legal or political status. Specific legal ownership and historical proof require separate examination; the mention of land in a mission statement does not establish the facts or entitlement in any particular case.

For Shipping purposes a restitution claim may initially be recorded as a demand or proposal. Later evidence might include an institutional response, provenance research, negotiation, formal agreement, legal transfer documentation, physical handover or receipt acknowledgment. The movement from claim to verified remedy should be traceable. A restitution event should never be inferred solely from an advocacy publication.

7. Compensation: distinguish sums and beneficiaries

Compensation refers to a monetary or equivalent remedy that may address losses or harm under a specified scheme or legal arrangement. In contemporary public discourse, the term can be used loosely for very different financial mechanisms. A dedicated compensation scheme is not identical to a social investment fund; a financial grant to an organisation is not automatically an individual compensation payment.

Any financial cargo record should preserve the amount, currency, date, source, governing body, purpose, recipient class and delivery stage where known. A figure announced in a speech or press release may establish a public statement but not cash in the hands of intended recipients. A ledger entry or accounting report may establish one stage of a transaction without proving its final reparatory outcome.

8. Rehabilitation: services and community restoration

Rehabilitation may concern medical, psychological, legal, educational or social support under relevant reparatory arrangements. In broader community settings it may also involve rebuilding capacities and institutions. ARDC's mission specifically mentions cultural healing and education, which are relevant areas for inquiry, but the webpage alone does not define a funded rehabilitation programme or its measurable outcomes.

Potential verification would depend on the activity: contracts for services, programme dates, participant records collected lawfully, institutional reports, independent evaluations or suitably anonymised evidence of delivery. RNL should not reduce community recovery to mere financial arithmetic; neither should it classify projected services as already received.

9. Satisfaction: truth, acknowledgement and memorialisation

Satisfaction may involve acknowledgement of historical violations, disclosure of truth, apologies, commemorative initiatives, publication of findings and other measures directed towards public recognition. ARDC's mission identifies truth and historical education as relevant aims, providing a primary source basis for recording its interest in these subjects.

The documentary question is what actually occurred. A petition requesting an apology is different from an apology being made; a proposal for a memorial is not a constructed memorial; a historical report being issued is different from its recommendations being adopted. RNL's evidential framework can describe each as significant without conflating their status.

10. Guarantees of non-repetition: future institutional safeguards

Guarantees of non-repetition are prospective measures intended to reduce the risk of renewed or continuing abuses. In some contexts they concern legal reform, accountability, education, discrimination safeguards, monitoring or reform of institutions. ARDC's mission names institutional reform and systemic change, but does not establish which reforms, if any, have been adopted by particular bodies.

Claims in this area demand particular precision. A policy announced is not necessarily a policy implemented. An equality commitment does not automatically demonstrate the operation of safeguards. Appropriate evidence may include formal adoption, implementation dates, training, decision-review procedures, published monitoring information and independent examination of outcomes.

11. ARDC's stated concern with land rights

Land rights appear explicitly among the non-financial subjects named in ARDC's mission. That establishes that land is within the announced scope of concern. It does not establish that ARDC claims ownership of a particular parcel of land or that a specified authority has promised land transfers.

A rigorous Shipping entry concerning land would name the jurisdiction, the land or rights concerned, the claimed historical basis, the claimant and potential recipient, the controlling institution, the legal process and the source evidence. Where these details are absent, the correct classification is a general policy demand or subject of advocacy rather than a completed restitution.

12. Education and historical repair

ARDC's mission identifies public education concerning past and present injustice as a central activity, and education among the forms of repair it seeks. An educational initiative may have both a campaigning function, by explaining a demand, and an intrinsic non-financial value, by preserving knowledge and broadening public understanding.

Records of talks, event flyers, curriculum materials, workshops, publications and publicly available video can form documentary cargo where permission and source attribution are appropriate. Their presence documents publication or programming, not necessarily the number of attendees or the depth of long-term educational benefit. Those more ambitious claims require their own evidence.

13. Cultural healing, public expression and community life

Cultural healing is named in ARDC's public mission. Marches, public gatherings and cultural practices may provide spaces for identity, remembrance, solidarity and discussion. A photograph of participants or an event poster may document that an activity was advertised or visually recorded, while interpretation of its cultural significance must be clearly attributed.

Public cultural participation should not be dismissed simply because it is not a financial transfer. Equally, it should not be described as compensation or institutional reform without supporting evidence. Shipping can maintain both propositions: recognise mobilisation and non-financial activity accurately, and separately record the status of specific reparatory transfers.

14. Organising locally, nationally and internationally

ARDC states an intention to organise on local, national and global levels and to work in solidarity with international reparations and self-determination movements. That is a source-backed statement of its organisational scope. The mission page does not itself name every affiliate, treaty relationship or legally binding agreement.

When recording international links, RNL should distinguish public solidarity statements from co-hosted events, formal membership, bilateral agreements and delegated representation. Names appearing beside one another on a poster do not necessarily establish a continuing partnership. A source register helps preserve evidence without inventing mandate.

15. Mobilisation and delivery are complementary

The 1st Mosiah Reparations March is registered within Shipping as a voyage under the ARDC vessel. This expresses the project's organising metaphor: an organisation is the vessel and a recurrent public mobilisation undertaking is a voyage. The registration is RNL's documentation decision, not a claim that ARDC uses Shipping or has authorised RNL to act as its representative.

Public mobilisation and reparatory delivery are different functions, but they may support one another. Mobilisation can sustain attention, build networks, generate proposals and encourage institutions to respond. Delivery requires additional processes associated with commitment, implementation, administration, receipt and verification. Both can be recorded without misleading the public.

16. What this documentary cargo proves and does not prove

This cargo establishes that RNL has prepared an analytical record based on specified public ARDC materials and an independently identified external reparations framework. It also identifies the original URLs so readers can examine primary documents. The cargo demonstrates a research activity; it does not establish any completed financial transfer, land restitution, formal policy change or completed programme of compensation.

It is not evidence that ARDC commissioned, approved or endorsed the research. It is not an authoritative transcription of any demands image. It does not establish legal representation of ARDC members or the persons whose interests the organisation discusses. These limitations should accompany the public record so that later users do not infer an institutional relationship that has not been confirmed.

17. How to use the five categories in Shipping

For restitution, record the subject, proposed remedy, responsible authority and any handover evidence. For compensation, identify any stated amount, mechanism, beneficiary and stage of financial movement. For rehabilitation, record services and evidence of provision. For satisfaction, preserve source material concerning truth, memorialisation, acknowledgement or apology. For non-repetition, distinguish recommendations from adopted and operational safeguards.

These categories can be used as comparative tags in notes and evidence records without modifying the database schema. They must not replace the system's existing vessel, voyage, cargo, movement and verification fields. The present upload is a supporting document under one voyage; it does not require any PHP change or SQL intervention.

18. Potential primary documents for subsequent cargo

ARDC's official mission webpage is a priority primary source because it states the organisation's scope in readable text. The demands webpage and its image-based material are priorities for future extraction once the original files can be inspected. The organisation's annual march announcements and public reports may also provide evidence of planned events and activities, subject to source checks.

Each additional cargo should provide genuine independent documentary value. Repeated one-page source notes that duplicate the same facts risk creating apparent volume without

greater evidential substance. RNL should prefer substantial, well-structured records that include source references, source limitations, category distinctions and a clear explanation of what the record establishes.

19. Verification and correction procedure

At the time of publication, RNL should check that every link in the source register resolves to the intended material and that the PDF contains no private contact information or invented association. Subsequent changes in the primary websites should be dated and documented. If an earlier interpretation proves inaccurate, an explicit correction should identify the affected statement and the newer supporting evidence.

Where ARDC provides corrections to a description of its own position, they should be considered against the evidence and recorded appropriately. A correction does not mean the earlier document must disappear from the historical record. The objective is a traceable chronology showing what was understood and when, with later qualifications preserved.

20. Public summary for readers

ARDC's published mission describes grassroots mobilisation, public education and organising for reparatory justice. It identifies financial compensation as only one part of a broader agenda, alongside truth, cultural healing, land rights, education and institutional reform. These statements support the classification of the 1st Mosiah Reparations March as a non-financial mobilisation voyage with a broad programme of stated demands.

This RNL dossier offers a structured comparison with five familiar forms of reparation discussed in international standards. It does not claim to reproduce ARDC's image-based demands verbatim or to certify that any desired remedy has been delivered. Its public value lies in preserving useful links, clarifying concepts and maintaining the distinction between demands, commitments, movements and verified outcomes.

21. Primary sources and link register

ARDC official mission (primary; text verified)

<https://www.ardcouncil.org/mission.html>

ARDC demands page (primary; image-based wording not independently transcribed)

<https://www.ardcouncil.org/demands.html>

ARDC published demands image (original link; image not inspected in this preparation)

<https://www.ardcouncil.org/img/A2.jpeg>

ARDC organisation home

<https://www.ardcouncil.org/>

UN Basic Principles and Guidelines on Right to a Remedy and Reparation (external comparison framework)

<https://www.ohchr.org/en/instruments-mechanisms/instruments/basic-principles-and-guidelines-right-remedy-and-reparation>

22. Shipping registration and attribution

Organisation / Vessel: Afrikan Reparations Day Council (RN-V-000015).

Voyage: 1st Mosiah Reparations March (RN-VY-000015).

Document title: ARDC — Reparations Mission and Five Categories of Repair — RNL Research Dossier.

Author and publisher of this dossier: Reparation Nation Limited (RNL), 20 October 2026.

Cargo classification: Independent documentary research / non-financial evidence.

Delivery / verification: Research document published by RNL. No ARDC endorsement, financial transfer or reparatory delivery is claimed.

END OF CARGO 02