

FORMAL CONSOLIDATED EXPLANATORY REPORT

PERSONAL DECLARATIONS, SIGNATURES, LIMITED REPRESENTATIVE AUTHORITY AND THE PROCEDURES FOR FORMAL GOVERNMENTAL ENGAGEMENT

**An Explanation to George T. Nomen Concerning the Documents Already Supplied,
His Right to Refuse to Sign, the Consequences of Non-Authorisation and Future
Documentary Requirements**

Prepared by: Reparation Nation Limited

Prepared for: George T. Nomen

Date: 14 October 2026

Subject: Proposed International Reparatory Justice Pilot Project No. 001 — Claimed
Bamiléké Hereditary Royal Succession, Historical Accountability, International Archival
Disclosure and British Government Engagement

Principal jurisdiction: Cameroon

Other jurisdictions concerned: United Kingdom, Germany and France

Document classification: Formal explanatory and procedural report

Status: For information, review, clarification and voluntary decision-making

DOCUMENT CONTROL AND STATUS

This consolidated report has been prepared by Reparation Nation Limited following the preparation and delivery to George T. Nomen of an international strategy and associated personal declaration documents concerning his claimed ancestral hereditary royal succession.

George has read the previously supplied materials but has indicated that he does not wish to sign documents.

The present report explains the significance of that position, the distinction between personal declarations and representative authority, and the procedural requirements that may arise when approaching governments, historical archives, legal institutions and other bodies.

This report does not require George's signature. It does not itself create a mandate, establish hereditary entitlement, confer governmental recognition or authorise Reparation Nation Limited to represent him.

Its purpose is explanatory.

DOCUMENTS PREVIOUSLY SUPPLIED

The present report relates to the following five documents:

Document One

An International Strategy for Bamiléké Hereditary Royal Succession, Historical Accountability and Reparatory Justice.

Document Two — Part A

Personal Royal Declaration of George T. Nomen and Formal Limited Mandate to Reparation Nation Limited.

The following four sections of Document Two have been supplied:

- Section One — My Identity, Ancestral Heritage and Claimed Hereditary Royal Succession.
- Section Two — The Alleged Colonial Displacement of My Ancestral Hereditary Authority and the Historical Account I Seek to Establish.
- Section Three — My Formal Request for International Historical Disclosure and Cooperation from Germany, France, the United Kingdom and Cameroon.
- Section Four — My Proposed London-Based Government-in-Exile, the Free French Precedent and My Request for British Government Consideration.

The separate executed Formal Limited Mandate referred to within the strategy has not been established by the five supplied PDFs.

PURPOSE OF THIS CONSOLIDATED REPORT

The report has five principal objectives.

First, to explain why reading a document, approving its contents, signing a declaration and granting authority to another organisation are separate acts with different consequences.

Second, to explain why Reparation Nation Limited must distinguish its own strategic proposals from statements personally adopted by George T. Nomen.

Third, to explain how formal governmental correspondence may be undertaken, including the difference between preliminary enquiries made in the organisation's own name and representations made on George's behalf.

Fourth, to identify the documents that may require George's signature at different stages of the international investigation, together with circumstances in which a signature may be unnecessary.

Fifth, to explain George's continuing freedom to decline, restrict, amend or withdraw proposed authority, subject to any applicable legal requirements or existing obligations.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Reparation Nation Limited has prepared a substantial international strategy intended to investigate George T. Nomen's claimed hereditary royal succession, the reported displacement of his ancestral traditional authority during European colonial administration, and the possibility of appropriate historical recognition, governmental engagement and reparatory justice.

The initiative includes a proposed approach to the United Kingdom Government, particularly the Foreign, Commonwealth & Development Office, concerning possible assistance and engagement.

The preparation of this strategy does not automatically authorise Reparation Nation Limited to act as George's representative.

George's Personal Royal Declaration is intended to establish his own formally adopted account and claimed position. A separate Formal Limited Mandate would establish the particular actions he authorises Reparation Nation Limited to undertake.

A signature is not universally required for every government communication. Nevertheless, a signed declaration and appropriately documented limited mandate would provide important evidence of personal approval and representative authority.

George is entitled to refuse to sign.

That refusal must not be interpreted as proof that his ancestral claim is invalid or that he has abandoned it.

However, it limits the capacity in which Reparation Nation Limited can properly present itself as acting for him.

The preferred procedure is to clarify his concerns, settle the precise wording of any proposed authority and allow him to decide whether to grant a limited mandate, authorise one particular submission, correspond directly with the British Government or decline the proposed representation.

Future stages may require additional and separately considered signatures, depending on the institutions involved and the actions proposed.

No present signature should be treated as unlimited permission for future litigation, governmental claims, settlement agreements or financial commitments.

STATEMENT OF PRINCIPLE

No person should be expected to sign a document that they do not understand, have not approved, or do not voluntarily wish to execute.

Equally:

No organisation should claim to possess authority to represent another person when that authority has not been established.

Both principles must operate together.

They protect personal autonomy, documentary integrity, institutional accountability and the credibility of the proposed international investigation.

SAFEGUARDS AND RESERVATIONS

This report does not presume that George's claimed hereditary succession has been independently established.

It does not presume that any existing traditional officeholder has been unlawfully appointed.

It does not establish that the reported colonial interventions or associated historical events have been conclusively verified.

It does not presume that the United Kingdom Government is willing or obliged to recognise a proposed government-in-exile.

It makes no predetermined accusation, allegation, assumption or finding of anti-Black racism or institutional racism against any present-day official or institution.

Questions concerning fair treatment, safeguards, accountability and institutional decision-making remain legitimate subjects for properly framed enquiries.

The report also distinguishes general procedural guidance from requirements that may arise under particular laws, government policies, archival rules or court procedures.

Where specialist legal advice or prescribed execution formalities become necessary, those requirements must be examined separately.

STRUCTURE OF THIS REPORT

The substantive report is divided into three explanatory documents.

Document One — Why George's Signature and Authorisation Matter

Explains personal declarations, signatures, the distinction between a claim and the work undertaken by Reparation Nation Limited, the need for representative authority, and the implications of signing or declining to sign.

Document Two — The Procedure from Beginning to End

Explains the proposed progression from document review and limited authorisation through initial British Government engagement, international archival investigation, genealogical research, potential institutional development, legal proceedings and possible reparatory arrangements.

Document Three — George's Choices, the Consequences and the Immediate Decision

Explains George's right to refuse, the alternative procedures available, the limits of Reparation Nation Limited's authority and the decisions required before further formal action is undertaken in his name.

FINAL NOTE TO THE RECIPIENT

Dear George,

These explanations have been prepared because you have already received and read the principal documents but have indicated that you do not wish to sign anything.

We do not wish to misinterpret your position.

We also do not wish to submit documents to the British Government in a manner that incorrectly suggests you have signed, approved or authorised something you have not.

The purpose of this report is therefore to give you a complete explanation of the proposed procedure and its possible future consequences.

You are free to disagree with any proposed arrangement, request corrections, limit the authority you give or decline representation.

Your decision should be informed, voluntary and accurately documented.

Reparation Nation Limited

International Reparatory Justice Pilot Project No. 001

End of Front Matter — Document One follows.

FORMAL EXPLANATORY DOCUMENT ONE

WHY MY SIGNATURE AND AUTHORISATION ARE NECESSARY BEFORE REPARATION NATION LIMITED CAN FORMALLY REPRESENT ME

Prepared for: George T. Nomen

Prepared by: Reparation Nation Limited

Date: 14 October 2026

Subject: Personal authority, documentary responsibility, governmental representation and the protection of my claimed hereditary royal succession

1. PURPOSE OF THIS EXPLANATION

Dear George,

Reparation Nation Limited has prepared and supplied you with two substantial documents concerning your claimed hereditary royal succession, ancestral history, the reported colonial displacement of your family's traditional authority in Cameroon, and a proposed international strategy for historical accountability and reparatory justice.

You have read the documents but have indicated that you do not wish to sign anything.

That is your decision, and it must be respected.

However, it is important that you understand why your signature has been requested, what it would authorise, what it would not authorise, and the practical consequences of proceeding without written authorisation.

This explanation is intended to prevent confusion concerning the respective roles and responsibilities of yourself, Reparation Nation Limited, the British Government and any other government or institution approached during the proposed investigation.

2. THE DISTINCTION BETWEEN YOUR CLAIM AND OUR WORK

You are the person who maintains the hereditary succession claim.

Reparation Nation Limited is the organisation that has prepared a proposed strategy for investigating that claim and pursuing appropriate governmental engagement.

These are two different positions.

Your personal claim belongs to you.

The research and strategic proposals prepared by Reparation Nation Limited are the organisation's work.

Preparing documents about your position does not automatically give Reparation Nation Limited authority to speak or act for you.

Likewise, your decision to read the documents does not automatically mean that you approve every statement or authorise their submission to governments in your name.

The organisation must distinguish between what it proposes and what you personally confirm or authorise.

3. WHY YOUR SIGNATURE HAS BEEN REQUESTED

The documents contain statements written in your first person, using expressions such as:

"I, George T. Nomen..."

"I maintain..."

"I seek..."

"I wish..."

These expressions represent your personal position.

It would be inappropriate for Reparation Nation Limited to present an unsigned document containing such statements as a declaration you have formally adopted or executed.

Your signature is one way of confirming that you have personally reviewed and accepted the identified version of the declaration.

A separate signature on a limited mandate can provide evidence that you have authorised Reparation Nation Limited to carry out particular activities on your behalf.

These two signatures perform different functions.

4. SIGNING DOES NOT PROVE YOU ARE A KING

Signing a personal declaration does not mean that the British Government, Cameroonian Government, German Government or French Government has recognised you as a hereditary king.

It does not automatically establish your genealogy.

It does not authenticate the reported 1933 French administrative instrument.

It does not prove that colonial authorities wrongfully displaced your ancestors.

It does not establish that a government-in-exile exists or has been recognised.

Those are matters requiring evidence, historical investigation, customary examination and, where appropriate, legal determination.

Your personal declaration records the position you personally advance. It does not independently prove that position.

5. SIGNING DOES NOT SURRENDER YOUR AUTHORITY

A properly limited authorisation should not surrender control of your claim.

It should not transfer your claimed hereditary entitlement to Reparation Nation Limited.

It should not give the organisation ownership of your ancestral history.

It should not authorise the organisation to appoint itself as a government.

It should not allow the organisation to settle a claim, accept compensation, commence litigation or make irreversible commitments without whatever further authority is required.

It should specify precisely what the organisation is permitted to do, for how long, and under what conditions.

You should understand and approve those limitations before signing.

6. WHY GOVERNMENTS MAY ASK FOR PROOF OF AUTHORITY

When an organisation approaches a government claiming to act for a particular person, the government may reasonably ask whether the person has actually authorised the approach.

Without adequate evidence of authority, officials may be unable or unwilling to deal with the organisation as your representative.

This is particularly important where correspondence concerns personal information, private family records, confidential material or decisions affecting your individual interests.

Government bodies may still receive general enquiries or publicly available research without your signature.

However, receiving a document is different from accepting that its sender is authorised to represent you.

A signature is not universally compulsory for every communication. The actual requirements depend on the procedure and the government department involved.

7. WHY REPARATION NATION LIMITED MUST PROTECT ITS OWN POSITION

Reparation Nation Limited must be able to establish which statements are its own research proposals and which statements have been personally approved by you.

It must not represent a draft declaration as signed.

It must not suggest that an unsigned mandate is in force.

It must not assert that you have instructed it to undertake activities you have declined to authorise.

These safeguards protect your position as well as the organisation's position.

They also help protect the credibility of any future official correspondence.

8. THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN APPROVAL AND AUTHORISATION

Approving a document means accepting its identified contents for a specified purpose.

Authorising representation means giving another person or organisation permission to perform specified actions on your behalf.

These are not identical.

You might approve the historical strategy without granting any authority to send it in your name.

You might authorise limited archival enquiries without approving a proposal to establish a government-in-exile.

You might permit a preliminary British Government enquiry while withholding permission for legal proceedings.

Each decision should be identifiable and documented.

9. WHAT HAPPENS IF YOU DO NOT SIGN

You are entitled to refuse.

Your refusal does not extinguish your claimed ancestral heritage or prevent you from pursuing research independently.

However, Reparation Nation Limited must respect that refusal.

It may continue its own lawful general research and formulate proposals in its own name, subject to appropriate treatment of your personal information.

It should not submit your unsigned personal declaration as an executed declaration.

It should not claim to possess a signed mandate that does not exist.

It should not represent itself as your authorised agent without sufficient evidence of authority.

If you want the organisation to make a specific approach on your behalf, the question of authorisation must be addressed before that approach is made.

10. YOU MAY REQUIRE CHANGES BEFORE SIGNING

There is no requirement that you accept every word prepared by Reparation Nation Limited.

If any statement is inaccurate, incomplete, premature or inconsistent with your understanding, you should identify it.

The relevant document can then be corrected for your consideration.

Your signature should follow your informed agreement with the final text, not precede it.

Signing should never be treated as an administrative formality that requires you to accept statements you do not believe.

11. WHY THIS IS IMPORTANT FOR THE UK GOVERNMENT APPROACH

The proposed request to the United Kingdom concerns several distinct subjects.

They include historical archival assistance, governmental dialogue, consideration of your claimed hereditary succession, and preliminary examination of a proposed London-based government-in-exile or alternative representative institution.

These are substantial matters.

Reparation Nation Limited can ask the British Government general questions about its policies and procedures in its own name.

However, if the organisation states that it is officially presenting your personal claim at your request, it should be able to demonstrate that you have authorised that representation.

Without that distinction, the governmental submission risks becoming confused about who is speaking, whose claim is being advanced and what authority the organisation possesses.

12. YOUR FREEDOM TO DECIDE

The choice remains yours.

You may accept the proposed arrangements, ask for amendments, authorise only certain activities, undertake correspondence yourself, appoint another representative or decline the proposal entirely.

Reparation Nation Limited should not pressure you to sign.

Nevertheless, it has a responsibility to explain that it cannot properly treat an unapproved draft as your formally adopted declaration or an unsigned proposed mandate as an operative authorisation.

The central principle is simple: your claim is yours, your decisions are yours, and no organisation should exercise representative authority that you have not granted.

Wednesday, 14 October 2026 14/10/2026 11:05:20

Prepared by Reparation Nation Limited for the information and independent consideration of George T. Nomen.

Document status: Explanatory only. This document does not constitute a mandate, contract, declaration of hereditary entitlement or authorisation to act.

BACK MATTER

FINAL PROCEDURAL CLARIFICATION, RESERVATION OF RIGHTS AND SIGNATURE

1. FINAL CLARIFICATION

This consolidated explanatory report has been prepared by Reparation Nation Limited for George T. Nomen following his receipt and review of the previously supplied international strategy and personal declaration documents.

Its purpose is to explain why personal declarations and representative mandates may require signatures, how authorisation operates, and what documentary requirements may arise during future governmental, archival, institutional or legal proceedings.

The report recognises George T. Nomen's unrestricted right to review, question, amend, approve or decline the proposed arrangements.

Reparation Nation Limited does not interpret his reading of the earlier documents as equivalent to formal approval, execution or authorisation.

2. DISTINCTION BETWEEN EXPLANATION AND AUTHORITY

This report is explanatory only.

It is not a Personal Royal Declaration.

It is not a Formal Limited Mandate.

It is not a contract transferring rights or responsibilities.

It does not authorise Reparation Nation Limited to commence legal proceedings, negotiate settlements, receive compensation or undertake governmental representations on George T. Nomen's behalf.

Such activities require appropriate authority, determined according to the proposed action and applicable procedures.

3. RESERVATION OF RIGHTS

Reparation Nation Limited reserves its right to undertake lawful independent historical research, prepare strategic proposals and communicate with public institutions in its own name.

George T. Nomen retains control over whether he adopts the proposed declarations and whether he authorises Reparation Nation Limited to represent him.

Nothing in this report should be interpreted as diminishing his claimed ancestral interests, determining the validity of his hereditary claim, or surrendering any rights he may possess under applicable law.

All historical, customary, legal and governmental questions remain subject to appropriate examination.

4. PROCEDURAL POSITION PENDING AUTHORISATION

Until appropriate authority has been established, Reparation Nation Limited will distinguish its independent research and proposals from representations formally made on behalf of George T. Nomen.

Unsigned draft declarations will not be described as executed declarations.

A proposed but unsigned mandate will not be represented as an executed mandate.

Any preliminary approach to the United Kingdom Government undertaken without George's authorisation must accurately identify Reparation Nation Limited's independent capacity and must not misrepresent his consent.

5. FINAL STATEMENT

The objective of this report is to establish a clear, accountable and voluntary procedure through which the proposed international investigation may progress.

Personal claims require accurate attribution. Representative authority requires an appropriate legal basis. Governmental engagement requires truthful documentation. Future commitments require the necessary further approvals.

These principles are essential to protecting the interests of George T. Nomen, Reparation Nation Limited and the integrity of the proposed international reparatory justice initiative.

FORMAL SIGNATURE AND AUTHENTICATION

Prepared and issued by:

REPARATION NATION LIMITED

For and on behalf of the company:

Name: Derrick Lynch

Capacity: Authorised Representative, Reparation Nation Limited

A handwritten signature in black ink, featuring a large, stylized 'G' followed by a series of loops and a long, sweeping horizontal line extending to the right.

Signature:

Date: 14 October 2026

Document: Formal Consolidated Explanatory Report — Personal Declarations, Signatures, Limited Representative Authority and the Procedures for Formal Governmental Engagement

Recipient: George T. Nomen

Project: International Reparatory Justice Pilot Project No. 001

DOCUMENT STATUS

Issued for explanation and consideration only.

No signature is requested from George T. Nomen on this explanatory report.

This report does not constitute his approval of the previously supplied documents or grant Reparation Nation Limited authority to represent him.

END OF REPORT